EARLY SPANISH SCIENTIFIC

WRITINGS ON THE ORIGIN OF LIFE

Jesús I. Català¹ and Juli G. Peretó²

- 1. Institut d'Història de la Ciència i Documentació "López Piñero" (CSIC-Universitat de València) <jesus.i.catala@uv.es>
- 2. Departament de Bioquímica i Biologia Molecular, Facultat de Ciències Biològiques, Universitat de València <juli.pereto@uv.es>

1. The general context

The reception of new scientific developments –in general, of materialistic or positivist inspiration– in the field of natural sciences in XIX-Century in Spain was conditioned by the particular social and ideological coordinates. The Spanish society was moved away from the course of historical development of modernity. This situation was obvious in the general absence of suitable structures for developing an original experimental research activity (**Núñez** 1977: 14). Furthermore any significant progress was hindered by the growing ideological polarization.

The influence of the ecclesiastic organization was very strong as they used efficient mechanisms of ideological and educational control, and were allied with traditional classes that ruled the basic productive tissue. Nevertheless the freethinker groups opposed to them a rising and radical response, as apostles of the new political and cultural streams and in association, sometimes in an unnatural way, to the still weak social forces proposing resolved social and political changes. In this context, the reception of darwinism –as a paradigmatic example of how the scientific thinking was a jolt to the traditional Western foundations during the second half of XIX century– was at first impeded.

The new ideas, and darwinism ahead, penetrated however through the split opened by the Revolution of 1868 (*La Gloriosa*). This revolutionary triumph was quite ephemeral if one consider that Monarchic Restoration was effective by 1874, but perdurable after all given that many of the revolutionary changes were irreversible. Many other developments occurred albeit the evolutionist doctrines were the flag of the ideological renovation with a scientifc substrate (for review see **Núñez** 1977, **Glick** 1982 and **Sala Catalá** 1987).

The aim of this work is to review the discussion on the origin of life in the Spanish context, always as an ancillary question of the problem of the species transmutation but, at any rate, sharing with it the vehemence –specially on discussing the possibility of spontaneous generation–, the confrontation of opinions and, in general, the notably scarcity of original results.

2. The task initiated in the 1860s

The references to scientific studies on the origin of life were limited to reports on the activity done in other countries -mainly France, Germany and Great Britain- due to the absence of a possibility to develop an original experimental work. During a long period of time those reports were published in popularized miscellanies aimed to inform the readers of some journals about the more recent scientific advances. On the issue of our interest was specially active the journal Revista de los Progresos de las Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales (Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas v Naturales. Madrid 1850-1904), that regularly published news and translations of papers appeared in Comptes Rendues de l'Académie des Sciences (Paris, France). Thus, Revista de los Progresos... allowed the Spanish readers to know about Félix **Pouchet** research as early as in 1859. **Pouchet** work on the experimental possibility of heterogenesis -translated as heterogenia, a term that will be very popular in Spain- have been published only months before in the French journal aforementioned. As a measure of how timely and convinient was the news selection made by Revista de los Progresos... we mark that in 1859 - the publication year of Charles Darwin On the Origin of Species...- Pouchet publishes Hétérogenie ou traité de la génération spontanée (Farley 1977 : 96), a work that will start the famous **Pasteur-Pouchet** debate (see Timeline). In 1863 this journal publishes a less relevant paper by the German anthropologist Hermann Schaaffhausen, a follower of the spontaneous generation doctrine. The Spanish translation reveales how faltering the scientific terminology is at this moment in using, e.g., metamorfoses instead of metamorfosis. Later will be published a paper by the French botanist **Charles Lemaire** on the presence of microorganisms in air samples from different French locations. Lemaire attacks the heterogenist position that negates the existence of germs in the atmosphere (Lemaire 1865). A few later the journal -in the section Variedades, a kind of review of current scientific news in short- will show the interest on the controversy in France and the Académie resolution to create a informative comission (Ruiz 1865). The editorial board of Revista de los Progresos... will heat the discussion publishing a note by the French naturalist Gourdan de Fromentel and, in 1866, the translation -incidentally, using now the term *metamórfosis*- of a paper by the French botanist **Auguste Trécul** originally published in L'Institut- on the transformation in spores of the starch granules of plant parenchyma.

Other Spanish journals, besides *Revista de los Progresos…*, were sensitive to the spontaneous generation controversy. Thus, in 1864 *El Museo Universal* publishes a documented paper by **Ignacio Oliver de Brichfeus** that reviews accurately the history of the problem since the classical epoch, the philosophical implications – without forgetting, after **Pierre Flourens**, the current and dangerous linking

between transformism and spontaneous generation– and ending with a description of Pasteur experiments and his opponent criticisms. The author, albeit adversary of spontaneous generation, will use a measured tone, one that increasingly became strange in the scientific debates by Spanish authors.

Spain, therefore, was a passive receptor of the ideas and experimental results on spontaneous generation during the sixth decade of XIX century. Both journals and authors undertook a modest popularization of the debate in France but with complete absence of an original work.

3. The 1870s eclosion

The convulsed and encouraging period, initiated by the Revolution of 1868 and closed with the Monarchic Restoration in 1874, although intellectually renovating was not particularly prolific on the topic studied here if one considers just the printed production. Nevertheless, as we will see later, it is the moment of the author that will become the main reference in the origin-of-life problem in the Spanish context, **Enrique Serrano Fatigati**. But now we will focus on other authors who also pay attention to that question, albeit their results, purposes, and presuppositions were quite different.

The popularization task went on, but now from different journals. It is remarkable *Revista Europea*, one of the public platforms of the Krausist group, that published in 1876 the translation of a long paper by **Wilhelm Preyer**, professor at the University of Jena, where he expounded the origin-of-life question in crude mechanistic terms, with ideas related with the thought of **Haeckel**, also professor at Jena University. The line of thinking of **Preyer**'s paper dismantled the possibility of spontaneous generation because if it occurred in a particular moment of the past, what would impede its manifestation today as indicated by numerous and compelling experimental evidences? If in fact it have occurred under very different circumstances, how life could bear so wide change in environmental conditions? The dilemma is evaded by **Preyer** invoking the eternity of life and accepting that terrestrial life is the result of the arrival of life forms from outer space. Many of these ideas will be presented by the author in his more extended work *Die Hypothesen über den Ursprung des Lebens* – a title almost identical to the one in translated paper– published in Berlin in 1880 (see **Farley** 1977: 152).

The same journal reported the new experiments of **Pasteur** answering the objections to his fermentation theory, a question related to the spontaneous generation debate (Teoria 1875). It is noticeable that any of the French scientist public activities attracted the attention. Thus, *Anales de Ciencias Médicas*, a journal with a different scope, beyond the popularization of science –the Spanish medical class demanded already professional journals with a certain level– enthusiastically

covered the frustrated confrontation between **Pasteur** and **Henry Bastian** (**Tolosa Latour** 1877). The contributions of **Pasteur** also appeared in the newspaper pages. As an example we refer to a feature by **Emilio Ribera** – a natural history teacher in a secondary school– in *El Imparcial* (1877). With ponderous rethoric the author, with references to the scientific triumphs in the XIX century, described the experiments of **Pasteur** and **Tyndall** on fermentation, stressing the fact that fermentative fungi always proceed from another identical one travelling in the air.

The prevailing panorama in the Spanish XIX-century scientific world about the origin-of-life problem, according to the already exposed, was both the effort to keep the knowledge up to date and the lack of originality. But in the seventh decade something is going to change. Some personages try hard to do better and be something else than a mere echo of foreign advances but still enthusiastically estimulated by the scientific progress in other countries. They lived the typical contradictions and limitations of the Spanish scientist of the time, immersed in the "anxious effort" of connecting with European science but after all isolated from a society completely indifferent to his intellectual work (**López Piñero** 1979: 77-78). Thus, we refer to a human anatomy professor at the University of València, **Peregrin Casanova**, one of the most resolute bastion in the introduction of the evolutionist doctrines in Spain (López Piñero 1989). In a provocative address to the Instituto Médico Valenciano -an active professional corporation- just before becoming university professor, Casanova expressed an advanced concept of cell, discussed the artificial separation between inorganic and organic things, and proclaimed the essential continuity in matter, form, and force in everything that surround us (Casanova 1875). Therefore Casanova exhibited a radical mechanism (López Piñero 1988: 122) directly connected to Haeckel proposals. Casanova interest on the work of the polemical German naturalist was so great that there was an epistolar exchange between 1876 and 1883 and he payed a visit to Jena to personally meet to whom he considered his master (Glick 1982: 18).

The Haeckelian works were truly fundamental to the evolutionary diffusion, in particular among positivist circles, logically impregnating their ideas on the subject of life beginnings. As a good example we introduce the social theorist **Pedro Estasén**, the author of outstanding articles trying to show the evolutionary condition of social development (**Glick** 1982: 26-28). **Estasén** wrote the foreword to the Spanish version of **Haeckel**'s *Natürliche Schöpfungsgeschichte*, previously appeared in *Revista Contemporánea*, a remarkable positivist journal. In this article the author reviews the ideas of the scientist from Jena on the progressive aggregation of material manifestations, a basic principle to explain the origin of life, and that covered all the forms of matter, from ether atoms, through atoms of ponderable matter, molecules, cells –huge molecular aggregates–, tissues, organs, and living beings (**Estasén** 1878).

The ambients not so open to radical materialism were permeated also by **Haeckel** ideas, as was the case of the Krausist groups –assimilators of the doctrines of the German philosopher **Karl Christian Friedrich Krause**, whose ideas found an unusual resonance in Spain during the second half of XIX century. We point out, as a significant contribution, the course on Haeckelian morphology by the naturalist **Augusto González de Linares** delivered at *Institución Libre de Enseñanza (ILE,* institution committed in a radical pedagogical renovation, affecting both methodology and contents). He explained **Haeckel** criticisms to the traditional conceptions on spontaneous generation and then expounded the proposal on autogony by means of aggregation nuclei formed in albuminoid-like solutions, as a base of *monera –moneros* in the author's version–, "homogeneous and indifferent" organisms (**González de Linares** 1877: 58).

We now return to **Casanova** since his compromise with the Haeckelian cause was the stimulus to publish in 1877 the book La biología general, a synthesis of basic biological questions, always explained in the light of **Haeckel** views, but incorporating also references to the more prominent European evolutionists, including British authors that in general were less known in Spain. The book had to be the first in a series, Estudios biológicos, that finally did not continue (López Piñero 1988: 126-129). The chapter 18 of Casanova's book is devoted, in part, to life beginnings on Earth. The author declares initially that he does not wish to express his support to **Pasteur** or **Bastian**, so he neither admits nor refuses spontaneous generation. He thought rather to remark the great errors committed by ones and others. In the Spanish context this is a new approach clearly derivated from **Haeckel** thoughts. The restraint to the laboratory of the research on the "heterogenic generation" was considered by **Casanova** as chimerical and crude, basically due to the necessity for long periods of time. Instead he suggested to look at the ocean bottom, where we must find the proper conditions and even evidences of the phenomena related to life origins, the transition from inorganic to organic matter (Casanova 1877: 398-403). In his book, Casanova quotes nine times **Huxlev**'s *Bathybius*, as a direct proof of those transitions.

4. Enrique Serrano Fatigati

Enrique Serrano Fatigati can be adscribed (according to **Sala Català** 1987: 31) to the Krausist group, together with **Francisco Giner** (founder of the *ILE*), **Salvador** and **Alfredo Calderón** and **A. G. de Linares**. All of them will publish their works in *Boletín-Revista de la Universidad de Madrid, Revista de España, Boletín de la ILE* and *Revista Europea*. This group of thinkers and scientists accepted the new ideas of evolutionism and assumed as a main intellectual goal its general diffusion. **Serrano** represents for us a qualitative change in the way a Spanish scientist confronted the origin-of-life question, as he became engaged both in theoretical and experimental approaches.

In the paper of 1873 (a revised version of the work of 1870, and a previous version of the booklet of 1874, see list below) Serrano considers that all material forms, from stars to man, are organized and based on the same chemical and dynamical grounds. There is a "unity of constitution", "unity of phenomena" and a "common origin" in the general "progress of matter". More than simple "analogy", among the species there is a "necessity law" that orders the series from the simplest to the more complicated forms. After admitting the difficulty to define those simplest forms he establishes a relationship between the transformism of species and spontaneous generation, a possibility "rebutted by those who believed that [those proposals] would bring the collapse of spiritualism whereas actually they are one among other proofs of incompleteness of the materialistic system" (1873: 85), and he adds an epistemological criticism to **Pasteur**'s approach to the question. The author then cites the original works by Carpenter, Thomson, Ehremberg, Sars, Agassiz, Haeckel, and Huxley to review afterwards the astonishing diversity of life forms and their adaptations to very different -and extreme- physicochemical conditions. and presents the discovery of *Bathybius* and *cocolites* as the more advanced proofs of his views.

All those arguments will be the starting point of **Serrano Fatigati**'s experimental efforts in the following years. In the period 1874-1881 he performed experiments on the influence of several conditions –e.g. light colours, temperature, or composition of culture media– on the growth of microorganisms –i.e. "infusoria"– including yeasts, and published five papers –two in Spanish, two in French, and one in English. It is more explicit the paper published in 1877a in the *Bibliothèque Universelle– Archives of Sciences Physiques et Naturelles* (Généve). In this work the author recognizes the observation of *archibosis* [sic] whereas the journal acknowledges the interest of the paper and the convenience to publish it. In several Spanish scientific journals (*Anales de Ciencias Médicas, Crónica Científica*) will appear a report on **Serrano**'s paper. Even in one of them (**Tolosa Latour** 1877) there is the new on the **Bastian** and **Pasteur** frustrated debate before the commission of the *Académie* in the same miscellaneous report. Curiously enough this is the year of publication of **Tyndall**'s major technical paper on spontaneous generation (see Timeline).

Serrano Fatigati probably was the only Spanish scientist that performed experiments in the field of the spontaneous generation in an advanced situation of the debate in Europe –the period of demise, after **Farley** (1977). In 1881b **Serrano** will publish, as far as we know, a final paper more reflexive on the origin of life problem and where he expresses his confidence in the *heterogenist's* approach: "If the heterogenists could do one experiment, *only one experiment* without any serious objection, the controversy would finish because the appearance of beings in that conditions would demonstrate that it was possible to engender them" (his italics, 1881b: 209).

List of some Serrano Fatigati's works related to evolution, spontaneous generation, and the origin of life

- **1870** Estudios de filosofía natural. Total organización de la materia. Madrid, M. Tello. 70 p. (co-authored with S. Calderón)
- **1873** "El progreso de la materia" *Revista de la Universidad de Madrid* **2**, 69-98
- **1874** La evolución en la Naturaleza. Madrid, Aribau y Cia. 46 p.
- 1876 "On Saccharomyces cerevisiae" Annals and Magazine of Natural History (London) 18, 187-189 (co-authored with Francisco Quiroga Rodríguez)
- 1877 "Note sur les bactéries et les générations spontanées" Archives of Sciences Physiques et Naturelles (Géneve) 59, 383-384
- **1879a** "Influence des diverses couleurs sur le développement et la respiration des infusoires" *Comtes Rendues de l'Académie des Sciences* (Paris) **89**, 959-960
- 1879b "Influencia de los distintos colores del espectro solar sobre la nutrición y desarrollo de los infusorios" Anales de la Sociedad Española de Historia Natural 8 (Actas de la sesión de 2 de julio), 42-43
- **1881a** "Influencias físicas en el desarrollo de los seres inferiores" Boletín de la Institución Libre de Enseñanza (Madrid) **5**, 135
- **1881b** "Orígenes de la vida: último aspecto del problema experimental" *Revista Ilustrada*, no. 18, 207-209

5. Into the XX Century

At the turn of the Century there is a new generation of scientists in a better position to do original experimental work, establishing scientific relationships with colleagues abroad, and taking advantage of the timid starting of new laboratories in some universities and research centers. We will focus our presentation on three characters: Jaume Pujiula, SJ, Antonio de Gregorio Rocasolano and José Rodríguez Carracido.

The position of **Pujiula**, as a Jesuit, was clearly against any materialistic approach to the origin-of-life problem. Thus, his criticism to plasmogenists was especially forceful. In this sense, **Pujiula** belongs to an intellectual tradition linked to the Catholic circles, with vociferous opponents to evolutionism like **Salvador Castellote** (see his lecture given in Madrid Cathedral in 1892), but now the criticisms came from a priest and professional biologist. Both in his lectures on evolution (1915) and his article "plasmogenia" in the major Spanish encyclopaedia at that time (Espasa, 1921), **Pujiula** analyzes and censures authors like **Stephan Leduc** or **Alfonso Herrera**, following mainly the arguments and bibliographic sources of **Agostino Gemelli**, OM (1910). Therefore **Pujiula**, an eminent embryologist, follows the official position of the Catholic Church and recognizes "the two ends of the chain", i.e. the origin of life and the origin of mind, as the result of Divine intervention. Thus both problems are more in the realm of philosophy than science.

On the other hand, two of the pioneers of biochemical sciences in Spain, **Rocasolano** and **Carracido**, will adopt similar materialistic positions. Rocasolano textbook on the physical and chemical bases of life (1917) has, as a first chapter, a nice review on the scientific approach to the origin of life. He quotes accurately the work of **Leduc**, **Loeb** and **Herrera**, and, in despite of his conspicuous Catholicism, accepts a materialistic emergence of life -but still keeps the Creator's hand only on the origin of the human soul (1917: 21). A more radical and reductionist opinion was expressed by Carracido. We consider this author a true advanced scientist in his view of biochemical evolution. In 1910, adapting Haeckel's biogenetic law at the molecular level, Carracido proposes a phylogenetic classification of "albuminoids". In the paper of 1917 - and the textbook on biological chemistry (1917, 1924)-, he adopted Pflüger (1875) ideas on the origin of proteins from cyanogen in the primitive Earth (see Oparin 1938: 47-51) and found them compatible with his phylogenetic order of "albuminoids". After adhering to Loeb's criticism to plasmogeny, he compares the XIX-century evolution of organic chemistry with the future development of biochemistry. He ends by expressing his belief that "will be possible, albeit limited, the synthesis of the more rudimentary expression of living matter" (1917: 166). In his paper of 1927, although starting with a crude criticism to plasmogenists, he adopted the position of trying at least to understand, if not explain, the origin of the different chemical components of living matter.



Cast of characters

CASANOVA CIURANA, Peregrín (1849-1919) was professor of human anatomy at the University of València and one of the main introducer of evolutionism. In 1876 initiated his relation with Haeckel. He was the author of *La biología general* (1877), a Haeckelian vision of life, translated several works by Haeckel and wrote the foreword of the Spanish version of *Die Perigenesis der Plastidule...* (1882). He also was the organizer of the homage to Darwin at the University of València (1909).



PUJIULA I DILMÉ, Jaume, S.J. (1869-1958) was an embriologist, founder of the Sarrià Biological Institute. He published many papers on animal morphological microscopy. He published different papers on the ethics of medical practices and a number of textbooks on general biology, embryology, and histology. He belonged to the Catalan Society of Biology, the Catalan Institution of Natural History, the Royal Academy of Medicine (Barcelona), and the Royal Academy of Exact, Physical, and Natural Sciences (Madrid).

DE GREGORIO ROCASOLANO, Antonio (1873-

1941) was a biochemist interested in topics like colloidal chemistry, and medical and agronomical biochemistry. He was the author also of several textbooks and was the founder of the Laboratory of Biochemical Research at the University of Zaragoza in 1918. In 1921 helped decisively in the beginning of activities of the first Biological Chemistry Laboratory at the University of València by Luis Bermejo.



RODRÍGUEZ CARRACIDO, José (1856-1928) was professor of organic chemistry (1881) and biological chemistry (1898) at the University of Madrid. He collaborated with M. Berthelot, S. Arrhenius, M. Verworn and C. von Noorden. He was the author of the first textbook on biological chemistry in Spanish (1903) and of several other books and more than 180 papers. He belonged to the Academy of Sciences, of Medecine and the Royal Spanish Academy, as well as he was named Rector of his University (1916-1927).



SERRANO FATIGATI, Enrique (1845-1918) was a secondary school teacher on mathematics, physics, and chemistry in several Spanish cities. He was interested, besides the question of spontaneous generation and the origin of life, in many different topics as geology, fine arts, archaeology, and medieval studies. He published several books and papers on

those topics. He belonged to the Spanish Society of Natural

History (1879-1891) and to the Academy of Fine Arts.

Sources

You may find some of the following texts (*) in our web site: http://www.valencia.edu/~orilife/biblorigen.htm

- Casanova, P. (1875) "Historia natural de la célula: su estudio especial en el organismo humano" *Boletín del Instituto Médico Valenciano* **XIV**, 131-135.
- *Casanova, P. (1877) La biología general. València, Ferrer de Orga, 415 p.
- *Castellote y Pinazo, S. (1892) "El origen de la vida", segunda conferencia. In: *Conferencias científico-religiosas pronunciadas en la Catedral de Madrid.* Madrid, Imp. Católica de Adolfo Ruiz de Castroviejo.
- *De Gregorio Rocasolano, A. (1917) *Estudios químico físicos sobre la materia viva.* Zaragoza, chapter 1.
- Estasén, P. (1878) "La Creación, según Haeckel". *La Revista Contemporánea* **17**, 148-166 [foreword of the first Spanish translation of Haeckel 1868 work *Natürliche Schöpfungs-Geschichte*]
- Gemelli, A. (1910) *L'enigma de la vitta e i nuovi orizzonti della biologia.* Firenze, Libreria Editrice Fiorentina.
- González de Linares, A. (1877) "La morfología de Haeckel: antecedentes y crítica". Boletín de la Institución Libre de Enseñanza **1**, 58 and 78.
- Gourdan de Fromentel, L. E. (1865) "Investigaciones experimentales acerca de la cuestión de las generaciones espontáneas. Tomado de una nota de Mr. Fromentel". Revista de los Progresos de las Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales XV, 245-246 [from Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris, 1-8-1864]
- Lemaire, C. (1865) "Investigaciones sobre los microfitos y los microzoarios" Revista de los Progresos de las Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales **XV**, 105-110 [from *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 17-8-1864]
- Oliver de Brichfeus, I. (1864) "La generación espontánea". *El Museo Universal* **VIII/6, 7-II**, p. 42-43.
- Pouchet, F. (1859) "Nota sobre proto-organismos vegetales y animales nacidos espontáneamente en aire artificial y en gas oxígeno" Revista de los Progresos de las Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales IX, 179-185 [from Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris, 20-12-1858]
- Preyer, W. (1876) "Las hipótesis sobre el origen de la vida". *Revista Europea* **7**, 241-252.
- Pujiula, J. (1915) La vida y su evolución filogenética. Barcelona, Tip. Casals. 208 p.
- *Pujiula, J. (1921) "Plasmogenia". *Enciclopedia Universal Ilustrada Europeo-Americana*, **45**, 454-463. Barcelona, Espasa.
- *Ribera, E. (1877) "El origen de los fermentos". El Imparcial, Madrid, July 27.
- *Ribera, E. (1882) *Elementos de historia natural,* 2nd ed. València, Imp. Manuel Alufre
- Rodríguez Carracido, J. (1910) "Classification biochemique des matières albuminoïdes". *Revue Scient.* July 23, 114.

- Rodríguez Carracido, J. (1917) "Los fundamentos de la bioquímica" Bol. R. Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat. **17**, 154-166.
- Rodríguez Carracido, J. (1924) *Tratado de química biológica*. 3rd edition. Madrid, Sucesores de Hernando, 834 p. [1st edition, 1903. 2nd edition, 1917].
- *Rodríguez Carracido, J. (1927) *La formación de la materia viva.* Madrid, Blass. 15 p.
- Ruiz, R. (1865) "Sobre las generaciones espontáneas". *Revista de los Progresos de las Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales* **XV**, 124-125 [on Pasteur report to the *Académie*]
- Schaaffhausen, H. (1863) "Del origen de las algas y de las metamorfoses [sic] de las mónadas". Revista de los Progresos de las Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales XIII, 175-176 [from Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris, 12-5-1862]
- Teoría (1875) "La teoría de la fermentación". *Revista Europea* **57**, 156-157 [new on Pasteur report before *l'Académie*, 22-2-1875]
- Tolosa Latour, M. (1877) "Revista de ciencias auxiliares". An. Cien. Méd. 4, 239-248.
- Trécul, A. (1866) "Sobre la transformación de los granos de almidón en esporas, dentro de las celdillas parenquimatosas durante la putrefacción y sobre la germinación de estas esporas. Nota leída por Mr. Trécul". Revista de los Progresos de las Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales XVI, 243-247) [from L'Institut, 1-10-1865]

References

- **Farley, J. (1977)** *The Spontaneous Generation Controversy from Descartes to Oparin.* Baltimore, The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- **Geison, G.L. (1995)** *The Private Science of Louis Pasteur.* Princeton, Princeton University Press.
- Glick, T.F. (1982) Darwin en España. Barcelona, Península.
- López Piñero, J.M. (1979) "Introducción histórica". In: P. González Blasco, J. Jiménez Blanco, J.M. López Piñero, *Historia y sociología de la ciencia en España*. Madrid, Alianza, p. 11-93.
- López Piñero, J.M. (1988) "Las ciencias morfológicas". In: J.M. López Piñero (dir.) Las ciencias médicas básicas en la Valencia del siglo XIX. València, Alfons el Magnànim-IVEI-Institut d'Estudis Juan Gil-Albert, p. 65-162.
- López Piñero, J.M. (1989) "Peregrín Casanova (1849-1919) y la morfología darwinista". Medicina e Historia 29, 5-28.
- Núñez, D. (1977) El darwinismo en España. Madrid, Castalia.
- Oparin, A. I. (1938) The Origin of Life. New York, Macmillan.
- Sala Catalá, J. (1987) Ideología y ciencia biológica en España entre 1860 y 1881. La difusión de un paradigma. Madrid, Centro de Estudios Históricos del CSIC.
- **Strick, J.E. (2000)** Sparks of Life. Darwinism and the Victorian Debates over Spontaneous Generation. Cambridge MA, Harvard University Press.

Bulletin du Laboratoire de Plasmogénie



Théorie sulphocyanique de l'origine du protoplasme, (Suite) Experiences 9865-9885



Bulletin du Laboratoire de Plasmogénie

A. L. HERRERA Directeur persionnaire d'Etudes Biologiques et de la Société internationale de Plasmogénie. Paralasant le 30 de choque mois. Addrese: 28 Ciprés 64 - México, D. F., Amérique On prie de vouloir bien établir l'échange. - Exchanges sollicited 30 JUILLET 1940 NUMERO 10 rado como artitulo de Segunda Clase el 6 de Mauo de 1933

Théorie sulphocyanique de l'origine du protoplasme, (Suite) Fundrisares 055A-0590



Technique et résultats. Voir le Numéro 99 pour les dessins 9881 9885-9887. Formol à 31%. 7 c.c.: sulfocyanate d'ammonium de Mallinekrodt, 2 gr. On dépose 2 mmgr. en couvres tarés de 22 mm., carrés, et anrès, des convres membraneux. On introduit dans un flacon pour mu-

Alfonso L. Herrera and the Spanish readers

The Mexican plasmogenist Alfonso L. Herrera had many readers, not only among Spanish scientists who criticized his work -as was the case of **Pujiula**, Rocasolano, and Carracido, based on different theoretical grounds- but also people belonging to freethinker groups, including anarchists. We have found several issues of the Bulletin du Laboratiore de Plasmogénie, Memorias del Primer Congreso Internacional de Plasmogenia y Cultura General, Especialmente Mexicana, and Gaceta de Plasmogenia, at the Historical Library of the Institut d'Ensenyament Secundari "Lluís Vives" (València), the old Instituto General y Técnico de València. We have no idea of the person or persons that, in the period immediately before the Civil War (1936-1939), were the receptors of those publications. A measure of the interest on Herrera's work in Spain are the two books he published:

Plasmogenia. Una nueva ciencia, Barcelona, Maucci (1929). A volume of 420 pages and more than 100 figures.

La plasmogenia. Nueva ciencia del origen de la vida. València, Cuadernos de Cultura (1932). A 45-pages booklet addressed to the general public and published by a republican group. The foreword by Herrera is ardently anti-Catholic. You will find a pdf version of this text in our web site.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We wish to thank Soledad Rubio for her help in both the documentalist task and the construction of the web page.



MEMORIAS

Primer Congreso Internacional de Plasmogenia y Cultura General. Especialmente Mexicana

JULIO 15 - 30 DE 1938 Recopiladas por A. L. HERRERA, Presidente del Congreso Entrega Sexta, México, D. F. Abril y Mayo de 1940



an de Plasmogenia y Cultura General, 1938 Celebrado en el Palacio de Bellas Artes .- México

MEXICO - 1940

GACETA DE PLASMOGENIA

Organo de la Sociedad Internacional de Plasmosenia

Registrado como artículo de 2a, clase el 3 de Diciembre de 1935

REDACCION Y ADMON DIRECTOR MEXICO, D. F. TOMO I -- NUMERO II 24. DEL CIPRES 64 ALFONSO L. HERRERA ABL. A MAY. DE 1840 SEGUNDA SERIE

LA PLASMOGENIA REUNE TODOS LOS TRABAJOS DE TODOS LOS TIEMPOS Y PAISES, SOBRE EL ORIGEN DEL PRO-TOPLASMA O SAVIA VITAL, SIN DEFENDER TEO-RIAS O PERSONAS DETERMINADAS EXCLU-SIVAMENTE.

NOTABLE EXITO DE LA PLAS-MOGENIA EN EL CONGRE-SO DE BIOFISICA, BIOCOS-MICA Y BIOCRACIA

En el Comité de Honor figura ban los Presidentes de las Repúbli cas Argentina, de Chile, de Haití y de México

En el Comité científico los presidentes honorarios eran: señores Eduardo Branley, Pablo Langevin, Arsenio d'Arsonval, A. L. Tchijevsky.

Dije en esta "Gaceta" (página 35) que la Plasmogenia tuvo buen éxito en este Congreso.

Lo confirma una noticia que traduzco en seguida del boletín francés "La Côte D'Azur Médicale", del mes de marzo de 1940, Año 22, Tomo 21. N. 3 p. 34:

"Este Congreso de Biofísica y Este Congreso de Hollsker y foit reso Tanon, de Paris; Genética y mero de abril de 1939 de "La Côte Eugénica, Dr. Domingo F. Ramos, d'Azur Médicale", página 100, ha de la Habana; Demostraciones cien tenido lugar en Nueva York, en tíficas, Dr. José J. Mijares, de Riseptiembre último. 03

El Presidente fué el Dr. Luis C. Barail, de Nueva York, y el secretario general el Dr. Eugenio A. Du-Din

Presidentes de las Secciones: Para la de Biofísica, Profesor Angel H. Roffo, de Buenos Aires; Biocosmia, Profesor Ellsworth Huntington, do New Haven; Higiene, Pro-

General Timeline

France, Germany, Great Britain,(*)	Spain (this work)
1859 Darwin On the Origin of Species Pouchet Hétérogénie ou traité de la génération spontanée	1859 Pouchet "Nota sobre proto-organismos vegetales y animales nacidos espontáneamente" (<i>RPCEFN</i>)
1860 English transl. of Pasteur "New Experiments Relative to So-called Spontaneous Generation"	1860
1861 Pasteur awarded prize by French Academy for settling the question of spontaneous generation	1861
1862 Clémence Royer French translation of On the Origin Ernst Haeckel monography Die Radiolarien	1862
1863 Darwin regrets the use of the "Pentateuch word"	1863 Schaaffhausen "Del origen de las algas y de las metamorfoses [sic] de las mónadas" (<i>RPCEFN</i>)
1864 Pasteur lecture at <i>La Sorbonne</i> (April) Pierre Flourens Examen du livre de M. Darwin <i>Lancet</i> (December) supports heterogenesis	1864 I. Oliver de Brichfeus "La generación espontánea" (<i>El Museo Universal</i>)
1865	 1865 Lemaire "Investigaciones sobre los microfitos y los microzoarios" (RPCEFN) "Investigaciones experimentales acerca de la cuestión de las generaciones espontáneas. Tomado de una nota de Mr. Fromentel" (RPCEFN) "Sobre el llamado Eozoon canadense" (RPCEFN) "Sobre las generaciones espontáneas" (RPCEFN) [report on Pasteur's experiments]
1866 Haeckel Generelle Morphologie der Organismen	1866 Trécul "Note sobre la transformación de los granos de almidón en esporas" (<i>RPCEFN</i>)
 1868 Haeckel Natürliche Schöpfungsgeschichte Discovery of Bathybius T. H. Huxley lecture "The Physical Basis of Life" (November) 1869 Brit. Med. J. series on the origin of life 	1868 Revolution (la Gloriosa) 1869
English transl. of Haeckel <i>Monographie der Moneren</i> 1870 Tyndall "Dust and Disease" lecture (January) Bastian first articles in <i>Nature</i> (June, July) Huxley 's Liverpool BAAS address "Biogenesis and Abiogenesis" (September)	1870 Enrique Serrano Fatigati and Salvador Calderón Estudios de filosofía natural. Total organización de la materia
1871 Bastian Modes of Origin of Lowest Organisms Darwin's letter to Hooker on the "warm little pond"	1871
1872 Bastian Beginnings of Life Ferrière Le Darwinisme	1872
1873	1873 Serrano "El progreso de la materia" (Rev Uni Madrid)
1874 Bastian Evolution and the Origin of Life	1874 Monarchic restoration Serrano La evolución en la naturaleza
1875 Huxley renounces <i>Bathybius</i> as artifact Pflüger cyanogen theory on the origin of proteins	1875 P. Casanova "Historia natural de la célula" (<i>BIMV</i>) [Pasteur] "La teoría de la fermentación" (<i>Rev Europea</i>)
 1876 Cohn's <i>Beiträge</i> paper on discovery of heat-resistant spores in hay bacillus (July) English transl. of Haeckel <i>The history of creation</i> Haeckel <i>Die Perigenesis der Plastidule, oder die Wellenzeugung der Lebenstheilchen</i> 	 1876 Serrano and Quiroga "On Saccharomyces cerevisiae" (Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.) W. Preyer "Las hipótesis sobre el origen de la vida" (Rev. Europea)

1877 Tyndall major technical paper in <i>Phil Trans Royal Soc</i> (May). Most, including Darwin , conclude that the heat-resistant spores provide the final explanation for why Bastian must be wrong	 E. Ribera "El origen de los fermentos" (<i>El Imparcial</i>) Serrano "Note sur les bactéries et les générations spontanées" (Arch. Sci. Phys. Nat.) and Tolosa Latour report on this work and on the Bastian-Pasteur frustrated debate (An. Cien. Méd.) A. G. de Linares "La morfología de Haeckel: antecedentes y crítica" (BILE)
1878 Finale exchange of articles between Tyndall and Bastian Bastian 's last paper on "archebiosis" (<i>The Nineteenth Century</i> , February)	 1878 Spanish transl. of Haeckel Natürliche Schöpfungsgeschichte (as 35 articles in Revista Europea, through 1879) P. Estasén "La Creación, según Heackel" (Rev Contemp), foreword of Haeckel Historia Natural de la Creación Tyndall "La generación espontánea" (El Anfiteatro Anatómico Español, Crónica Científica and Rev. Europea) P. Colvée "Microzoarios y micrófitos" (BIMV)
1879	 1879 Serrano "Influence des diverses couleurs sur le développement et la respiration des infusoires" (Paris Acad. Sci. Compt. Rend.) Ribera Elementos de historia natural
1880	 1880 V. Peset Cervera La fermentación en fisiología y patología Balbino Quesada Tratado elemental de fisiología S. Calderón "El origen de la materia orgánica" (BILE) Serrano "Constitución de la materia" (Rev. Europea)
1881 Bastian and Pasteur disagree at International Medical Congress in London	 1881 J. Úbeda y Correal "El estudio de las fermentaciones en su relación con la heterogenia, el panspermismo y el polimorfismo" (<i>Rev. Contemp.</i>) Serrano "Influencias físicas en el desarrollo de los seres inferiores" (<i>BILE</i>) and "Orígenes de la vida: último aspecto del problema experimental" (<i>Revista Ilustrada</i>)
1882	 1882 Spanish transl. of Haeckel Die Perigenesis der Plastidule, foreword by Casanova M. Laguna "¿Qué son las plantas?" (Rev. Contemp.) B. Lázaro "Los microbas" [sic] (BILE)
1883	1883 A. Maestre de San Juan "Idea general de los organismos" (<i>Rev.</i> <i>Contemp.</i>)
1885	1885 Spanish transl. of Haeckel Generelle Morphologie der Organismen
1886	1886 Haeckel La evolución y el transformismo
1889	1889 Nadaillac "Origen y desarrollo de la vida en el globo" (<i>Rev. Contemp.</i>)
1892 Huxley Les problèmes de la biologie (Paris)	1892 S. Castellote lectures at the Cathedral of Madrid
1902 Fischer and Hofmeister demonstrate that proteins are polypeptides	Ibero-Americana C. Méd.)
1903 Bastian Studies on Heterogenesis	1903 Carracido Tratado de química biológica
1904 Alfonso L. Herrera Nociones de biología (Mexico)	1904
1906 Leduc "Culture de la cellule artificielle" (<i>Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci.</i>) Bastian The Nature and Origin of Living Matter	1906
1907 Bastian The Evolution of Life (New York) Leduc Les bases physiques de la vie	1907
1908 Loeb La dynamique des phénomènes de la vie T.C. Chamberlin and R.T. Chamberlin "Early terrestrial conditions that may have favored organic synthesis" <i>Science</i>	1908
1909	1909 Casanova organizes the Homage to Darwin at the Univ. of València

1910 Leduc Théorie physico-chimique de la vie	1910 Carracido paper on biochemical classification of albuminoids
Gemelli L'enigma de la vita (Firenze)	
1911 Herrera Une science nouvelle (Mexico)	1911
Loeb La fécondation chimique	
1912 Loeb The Mechanistic Conception of Life	1912
Leduc La Biologie synthétique	
1913 Moore colloid theory on the origin of life (<i>The Origin and Nature of Life</i>)	
1914 L. T. Troland "The chemical origin and regulation of life" <i>The Monist</i>	1914
Bastian's paper "Experimental data in evidence of the present-day	
occurrence of spontaneous generation" Nature	
1916 Maumus ' paper on the spontaneous generation controversy after	1916
Bastian (Revue Scientifique)	
Troland "The enzyme theory of life" Cleveland Med. J.	
1915	1915 Jaume Pujiula La vida y su evolución filogenética
1917 Troland "Biological enigmas and theory of enzyme action" Amer Nat	1917 Carracido "Los fundamentos de la bioquímica" (Bol. R. Soc. Esp.
Félix d'Hérelle "Sur un microbe invisible antagoniste des bacilles	Hist. Nat.) and Tratado Quim. Biol. 2 nd ed
dysentériques" (Compt. Rendus Acad. Sci.)	A. de G. Rocasolano Estudios químico físicos sobre la materia viva
1920	1920 Loeb El organismo vivo en la biología moderna
1921 d'Hérelle Le bactériophage (Paris)	1921 Pujiula "Plasmogenia" (Enciclopedia Espasa)
1922 d'Hérelle The Bacteriophage (Baltimore), Der Bakteriophage	
(Braunschweig)	
1924 Aleksandr I. Oparin The Origin of Life (in Russian)	1924 Carracido <i>Tratado de química biológica</i> (3 rd ed)
R.B. Harvey "Enzymes of thermal algae" Science	
1925	1925 Pujiula <i>La vida y su evolución</i> 2 nd edition
1926 H. Muller "The gene as the basis of life" <i>Proc Int. Congress Plant Sci.</i>	1926
1927 E.C.C. Baly <i>et al</i> "Photosynthesis of naturally occurring compounds.	1927 Carracido La formación de la materia viva
I: Action of ultraviolet light on carbonic acid" Proc. Roy. Soc. London	
1928 Herrera "Plasmogeny" in Alexander 's Colloid Chemistry	1928
1929 John B. S. Haldane "The Origin of Life" The Rationalist Annual	1929 Herrera Plasmogenia (Barcelona)
1931	1931 Second Republic proclamed
1932	1932 Herrera La Plamogenia (València)
1936 Oparin The Origin of Life (in Russian)	1936 Franco's coup d'état. Civil war begins
1938 Oparin The Origin of Life (English translation by Sergius Morgulis)	1938
1939	1939 "National-Catholicism" after war. Biological evolution
	censured.

(*) The events relative to France, Germany, Great Britain..., mainly after Farley (1977), Geison (1995), and Strick (2000).