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ABSTRACT

Worldwide distribution of cephalopod limestone biofacies distribution is used herein to assess the reconstruction of the North Gondwana margin, with implications for southern hemisphere palaeobiogeography during the Silurian-Early Devonian. Three areas are of concern: the Tinduf Basin (Northwest Sahara, Morocco), the Uppony Mountains (Northeast Hungary), and perhaps the Ukrainian continental platform, which may have been part of northern Gondwana.
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INTRODUCTION

During the Silurian-Early Devonian, cephalopod limestone biofacies represent one of the best documented sedimentary deposits in the stratigraphic column. Reconstruction of the northern margin of Gondwana by means of cephalopod limestone biofacies also represents one of the most interesting topics of Early Palaeozoic palaeobiogeography.

Several authors have investigated the geographical position of various fossil groups during the Silurian-Early Devonian, including: nautiloid cephalopods (Crick 1990), bryozoans (Tuckey 1990), brachiopods (Cocks and McKerrow 1973; Boucot and Blodgett 2001), phytoplankton (Colbath 1990), ostracodes (Berdan 1990), higher land plants (Edwards 1990), palynology and plant dispersal patterns in the Ludford-Pridoli interval and Silurian-Devonian boundary (Richardson et al. 2001), Silurian stromatoporoids (Nestor 1990), Devonian stromatoporoids (Stock 1990), rugose corals (Pedder and Oliver 1990), algae (Poncet 1990), and Early-Middle Devonian gastropods (Blodgett et al. 1990). Nevertheless, in his discussion of Silurian biogeography Boucot (1990, pp. 191-196) noted that, "A number of potentially useful groups such as the nautiloids and stony bryozoans remain largely unstudied." As reported previously by Holland (1971, p. 70) "...The predominant impression is of cosmopolitan faunas, but this may be because outcrops of effectively observable Silurian rocks broadly follow the supposed Silurian equator. Platforms were apparently exceptionally large and geosynclines limited in the Silurian; the platforms comprise two "magnafa-
cies," characterised by (a) shelly faunas and (b) graptolite-bivalve-orthocone faunas." (see also Cocks 2001). This latter represents the subject of this investigation.

THE CEPHALOPOD LIMESTONE BIOFACIES IN THE GONDWANA NORTHERN BORDERLAND

Boucot et al. (1968) report the first citation of a micritic orthoceratid limestone in the entire circum-Mediterranean Silurian, later followed by Vai (1978). According to Kríz (1984), Ferretti and Kríz (1995) and Kríz and Serpagli (1993) the cephalopod limestone biofacies in the Prague Basin, southwestern Sardinia, Carnic Alps, and Montagne Noire represents a useful hard substratum for the settlement of the Cardiola Community Group. This faunal assemblage is mostly composed of epibystate forms. Kríz (1984, pp. 191-192) writes, “The accumulation of empty cephalopod shells on the sea floor created good conditions for the attachment of organisms in this environment, with constant water flow providing a sufficient food supply. The origin and distribution of this biofacies was closely related to surface currents carrying empty floating shells of cephalopods before they were deposited on the relatively shallow sea floor.” Many bivalve community groups are linked to nautiloid cephalopod tapho-communities that represent the remnant of living cephalopods inhabiting relatively shallow shelves or platforms (see Crick 1990 and Kríz 1999).

Another important environmental factor is that “The persistence in space and recurrence in time of the “Orthoceras” limestone facies are probably linked to both eustatic-climatic sea-level changes affecting the CCD, and periodic reactivation of the rifting system.” (Vai 1991, pp. 234-237). According to the same author, the effect of a very shallow carbonate compensation depth (CCD), reinforced by high non-calcareous productivity (e.g., graptolites, acritarchs, and chitinozoans), constituted a crucial event in the Palaeozoic. “Eustatic sea-level falls may explain synchronous recurrence of widespread “Orthoceras”-limestone horizons, which can be traced as key beds for more than 1200 kilometers from Mali to Algeria, as observed by the Silurian-Devonian Boundary Subcommission during their 1971 field-trip” (see Kríz 1984). According to Vai (1991) this is attributable to differing regimes of oceanic and atmospheric circulation due to a warmer, more uniform climate than at present. Frakes (1979, p. 107), who provides an exhaustive study of climates throughout geologic time, writes: “A widespread marine transgression in Early Silurian reflects the melting of polar ice in Africa and South America, and several features combine to indicate generally warm and possibly dry climates during the Silurian and Devonian periods. Carbonates in general and reefs in particular seem to be more abundant than in the earlier Palaeozoic.”
Frakes continues (p. 106) “In summary, the paleolatitudinal distributions of several climatic indicators fall generally within the latitudinal ranges for their modern counterparts. This, while establishing the credibility of the paleomagnetic reconstruction for the Silurian and Devonian, also suggests that early Paleozoic temperature gradients and humidity patterns did not differ greatly from those of today. There is some faunal indication of warming in the early Silurian and gentle cooling until the middle Devonian, followed by a warm late Devonian. Overall, aridity seems to have typified Silurian and Devonian climates, except for the late Silurian and early late Devonian.”

Before entering into any palaeobiogeographic and palaeoecological speculations based on nautiloid cephalopod assemblages, Crick’s (1990, p. 147) comment on nautiloid paleoecology is worth noting: “The distribution of fossil nautiloids illustrated here (Late Cambrian to end of Devonian), and those of Flower (1976) and Crick (1980), indicates that these nautiloids were not truly part of the nekton capable of oceanic dispersal, but were members of the shallow-shelf vagrant benthos and were thus capable of dispersal only along continuous shelves or over shallow stretches of open ocean. For these reasons, simple distance and the depth of water separating shallow shelf seas were capable of restricting the dispersal of nautiloid cephalopods until such time as the physical environment removed these barriers.” According to Crick, taking into account various magnitude events controlling in general the biogeography of nautiloid cephalopods and in particular those of the first magnitude such as geotectonic, it is possible to separate nautiloid biogeography into four episodes: (1) Late Cambrian; (2) Ordovician; (3) Silurian through Early Devonian; and (4) Middle through Late Devonian. The third episode is the concern of this article.

Holland et al. (1994) documented the commonly high concentration of specimens, characterizing the cephalopod limestone biofacies, describing Ordovician to Devonian examples from widely separated parts of the world. According to these authors different environmental causes could explain the high concentration of fossils. They also consider Doyle and MacDonald’s (1993, p. 67) suggestions regarding so-called ‘belemnite battlefields’ as most likely caused by post-spawning mortality. In conclusion, however, they write: “Thus we are left with catastrophic mass-mortality as the most likely explanation of the Paleozoic concentrations. It must be said that this is adequate but that its precise nature may be difficult or impossible to discover.” (Holland et al. 1994, p. 96).

**TAXONOMIC NOMENCLATURE**

Because the nautiloid taxa reported here from various localities have been referred to in publications by authors from the nineteenth century onwards, a revised taxonomic nomenclature was employed whenever possible. For example, according to Serpagli and Gnoli (1977) *Orthoceras bohemicum* Barrande, 1866 is considered the senior synonym of *Orthoceras fluminese* Meneghini, 1857. Likewise, Serpagli and Gnoli (1977) referred to Meneghini’s species as *Orthocycloceras? fluminese* (Meneghini 1857). Thus, any occurrence of *Orthoceras bohemicum* Barrande, 1866 is here reported as *Orthocycloceras? fluminese* (Meneghini 1857). Similarly, in its original binomen *Orthoceratites subannularis* Münster, 1840 was first changed to *Orthoceras subannulare* by Barrande (1866) and remained so for the following 112 years, before being assigned to *Metaspyroceras* Foerste by Zhuravleva (1978). Accordingly, all occurrences of this species are herein reported as *Metaspyroceras subannulare* (Münster 1840).

**NEW DATA ON CEPHALOPOD LIMESTONE BIOFACIES FROM NORTHEAST HUNGARY**

Kovács (1989) reported olistoliths from the Strázsahegyi Formation in the Uppony Mountains (northeastern Hungary) bearing nautiloid orthocones in profusion («orthoceratid lumachella») within the Ludlow Series (Gorstian) belonging to the *Ozarkodina s. sagitta - Ancoradella ploeckensis* conodont biozones. Gnoli (in Gnoli and Kovács, 1992) described and illustrated this faunule and, despite the poor preservation of the inner features in the available material due to slight metamorphism, recognized *Michelinoceras michelini* (Barrande 1866), *Mimogeisonoceras?* cf. *liberum* (Barrande 1870), *Kopaninoceras* sp. sensu Gnoli in Gnoli and Kovács, 1992, *Kionoceras?* cf. *adactum* (Zhuravleva 1978), *Leurocycloceras* or *Dawsonoceras* cf. *dulce* (Barrande 1868) and *Columenoceras?* cf. *grande* (Meneghini 1857). In addition to the following distinctive areas I would tentatively add a new Silurian region corresponding to the northeastern Hungarian Uppony Mountains because of its orthocone nautiloid content (Gnoli and Kovács 1992). These strata—cropping out on the western ridge of the Strázska Hill and locally called “Harka-tető”—can be closely compared to the Plöcken facies of the Carnic Alps (Schönlau 1979, 1980).

A manuscript entitled “Correlation of the Szen-drö - Uppony Palaeozoic (Northeast Hungary) with the Carnic Alps - South Karavanken Alps and Graz
Palaeozoic: some paleogeographic implications” by Ebner, Kovač and Schönlaub (Kovacs, personal commun., 1995) exists which advanced one interpretation of these occurrence patterns: “The close similarities between these Variscan sequences suggest their original proximity on the southern (Apulian) carbonate shelf of the Alpine-Dinaric arm of Prototethys”. These authors stated in the summary that: “The Silurian pelagic olistoliths of olistostrome derive, however, unambiguously from the coeval reddish pelagic carbonate formations of the Carnic Alps-South Karavanken Alps (Kok Limestone, Alticola Limestone).” According to these authors “The overlapping of the Carnic Alps, respectively Graz Palaeozoic characteristics in the Szendrő-Uppony Palaeozoic, indicate that the Periadiarctic Lineament was not a paleogeographic boundary.” Unfortunately, this manuscript was never published (Schonlaub, personal commun.,2002). Another possibility (Boucot, personal commun.,2002) is that the Carnic Alps and adjacent Karavanken might have been located near the southern end of the western Urals in the earlier Paleozoic. This assumes that their present position in the Early Carboniferous was linked to the exceptional coeval crustal shortening (Neugebauer 1988) which would explain some of the lithostratigraphy and also the ‘Uralian’ nature of the Early Devonian faunas.

Looking at the paleogeographic reconstruction of Paris and Robardet (1990), Robardet et al. (1994) and Vai (1991), there are several circum-Mediterranean microplates besides the Variscan Terranes on the northern margin of Gondwana. These areas (Fig. 1) represent Silurian continental platforms (shelves) covered by relatively shallow water. From West to East they are: Tinduf Basin, Anti-Atlas, Ougarta Chains (Aulacogen), Cantabrian Mountains, Central Iberian Domain, French Massif Central, Mid-North Armorican Domain, Eastern Pyrenees-Aquitaine, Montagne Noire, Southwest Sardinia, Carnic Alps (may be equivalent to the Southern Urals), Prague Basin, Eastern Serbia - Suva Planina Mountains, Zebrina locality (Kríz and Veselinovic 1974), Uppony Mts. (northeastern Hungary), Western Macedonia (Bouček et al. 1968), and beyond the Armorican sea tongue and ?Rheic Ocean (Carls 2001) towards the East, the Podolia continental platform. The latter must, however, be considered as belonging to Baltica (Bullard et al. 1965). The nautiloid cephalopod fauna of the Ukraine (Podolia) is tentatively compared to those reported above to check with possible links on biogeography during Silurian-Early Devonian time. A Variscan crustal shortening of about a thousand kilometres is indicated. This shortening, plus the strong westward rotation of Gondwana, probably constitute exceptional events, particularly during the Carboniferous (Neugebauer 1988), necessitating revisions in previous paleogeographic reconstructions. The hypothetical Palaeozoic Hercynian Ocean separating Europe from Africa, somewhere south of the Tornquist Line, has been discovered by Vai (1991) because of the distribution of the circum-Mediterranean ‘Orthoceras” limestone (see also Berry and Boucot 1967; Kríz 1998).

**FAUNAL CONTENT OF THE CEPHALOPOD LIMESTONE BIOFACIES IN THE VARISCAN TERRANES**

**Tinduf Basin (Northwestern Sahara) [A].**

Ratschiller (1970-71) in the northeastern part of Western Sahara (former Spanish Sahara) described two formations: the Dammia and Afreiyat Formations. He writes (pp. 44-46), “The boundary between the two formations is lithologically very distinct. The Dammia shale contains interbedded thin siltstone layers that are dark red on exposed surfaces at the contact between greyish green and red shale of the Dammia Fm and massive, predominant greyish-blue calcarenite beds of the Afreiyat Fm and surfaces. The Afreiyat calcarenite contains abundantly ‘Orthoceras’. These beds exhibit a characteristic shimmer and are observable for long distances along the Afreiyat Fm of the southern flank of the Tinduf Basin. An angular unconformity of a few degrees (2°-3°) seems to be present between the two formations along the area of the Tifariti basement. It is not well observable because of extensive fluvial deposit.” Ratschiller continues (p. 45), “Based on its fossil content the Afreiyat Fm. belong to the Silurian. In the graptolitic limestone of Rag Bularsag the following graptolites were collected: *Pristiograptus* (Saetograptus) *chimaera* (Barrande), *Monograptus*? *ungiferous* (Perner), *Pristiograptus* dubius (Suess), *Monograptus flemingi* (Salter).” These index graptolites correspond approximately to the following conodont zones or time intervals [Storch, personal commun., 1995 (Geol. Inst. AS CR, Prague, Czech Republic)]:

*Pristiograptus* (Saetograptus) *chimaera* (Barrande) to *Ancoradella ploeckensis*
Upper Gorstian such as the *scanicus zone* (Middle Ludlow);

**Monograptus? unguiferous** (Perner) to the Lower Gorstian;

**Pristiograptus dubius** (Suess) to *Ozarkodina boh. bohemica* (Wenlock-Ludlow);

**Monograptus flemingi** (Salter) to *O. sagitta* (Middle Wenlock).

Inasmuch as the cephalopod limestone biofacies in this area are of special importance, the following is reported from Ratschiller (1970-71, pp. 45-56, fig. 2 and enclosures 1-4) ad litteram.

**Afreiyat Formation**

Lithology: “In the type section area the top of the formation is a key horizon (thickness 5 m) of calcarenite, with abundant **Orthoceras** compact of finely crystalline, and grayish-red. Below the calcarenite greenish-red shale predominates. It is thin bedded to laminate, with some interstratified, thin, gray limestone beds (thickness 73 m). Below the shale is another red, calcarenite horizon, with abundant orthocones (maximum length 40 cm, diameter 7-8 cm). This calcarenite overlies 57 m of green or variegated shale, laminated, with crystals of limonitized pyrite and thin, interbedded, graptolitic, sandstone beds at the bottom.” In the lithostratigraphic description of section “Rio 21” at the base of the “upper section” of the Southern flank of Tinduf Basin near Tifariti, Ratschiller reported the presence in silty limestone of *Dawsonoceras annulatum* (Sowerby 1818).

The writer was kindly invited by Dr. Ratschiller to visit his personal fossil collection in the late spring of 1995, and had an opportunity to study a cephalopod slab, naturally polished by wind abrasion (Fig. 2) from the boundary of the Dammia and Afreiyat formations. This slab contained very abundant **Arionoceras submoniliforme** (Meneghini 1857), a few specimens of **A. affine** (Meneghini 1857), and scattered **Michelinoceras (M.) michelinii** (Barrande 1866). [Note: **A. affine** could represent the sexual dimorph (female) of **A. submoniliforme** (Meneghini 1857) (see Gnoli 1998)].

This slab is from 26°32′30″ North and 9°16′26″ West along the road that cuts toward the northeast boundary of the Afreiyat and Dammia formations. Biostratigraphically, its age belongs to the **Anco-radella ploeckensis** conodont Biozone. The cephalopod and conodont fauna it contains represents typical, monospecific populations probably due to the particularly high-energy depositional environment of this nautiloid-bearing calcarenite. Wave motion dominated this microfacies as evidenced by the presence of a normally packed oolite consisting of single-coated, rounded grains in which the nuclei are minute fragments of cephalopod shells. This is a good example of the “Standard Microfacies 15” that today is very common in tidal bars (see Wilson 1965).

**Anti-Atlas (Morocco) [B]**

Knowledge of the cephalopod limestone biofacies developed here is reported several times
and can be summarized by the existing literature on this topic. The Tafilalt structural platform can be traced over an area approximately 80 kilometers in a north-south direction and 30-40 kilometers in an east-west direction. It is characterized by a thin succession (5-50 m in the center) of Upper Frasnian/Lower Famennian, cephalopod-bearing, limestone strata, showing distinct lateral facies variation and frequent hiatuses. To the north and south, Devonian rocks are overlain by Upper Cretaceous strata. A possible continuation of the platform towards the north, however, may be inferred from the reappearance of Upper Devonian rocks of similar facies in the eastern Meseta, about 200 kilometers to the northwest (Hollard 1967; Ben-said,1974). An extremely reduced (3 m), isolated, Upper Devonian limb of a platform is found in the north Jebel Rheris area. Because Devonian rocks are eroded towards the north and east, the extent of this platform remains uncertain (Wendt et al. 1984). These authors speak of slow, shallow pelagic deposition of the Upper Devonian cephalopod limestone biofacies on the structural Tafilalt-platform. The same type of depositional pattern of this biofacies occurs in southwestern Sardinia, also dating during the Lower Devonian (Gnoli 1983, 1985).

The author also had the opportunity to see a polished slab of Silurian nautiloid cephalopod dark limestone from Tazzarine in which several orthoceratid species were recognized, including: Miche-linoceras (M.) michelini (Barrande 1866), Kopaninoceras jucundum (Barrande 1870), among Geisonoceratidae Arionoceras affine (Meneghini 1857), Arionoceras aff. capillosum (Barrande, 1868), Ar. submoniliforme (Meneghini 1857), and Harrisoceras cf. vibraye (Barrande 1859). This fauna is similar to those of southwestern Sardinia and Central Bohemia (Perunica). The age assignment—cross-checked by conodonts—is lowermost Ludfordian, Ludlow [P. siluricus Biozone (Serpagli, personal commun., 1995)]. A comparable slab is also stored in the Museum at Modena (Fig. 3). In addition, Dawsonoceras dulce (Barrande 1868) was recovered by Termier and Termier (1952) from the Silurian at Amde-khelni.

Ougarta Chains Aulacogen (sensu Wendt 1995) Southern Algeria [C]

These are approximately north of the Ahnet Basin and south of Beni Abbes locality, South Algeria, “Due to excellent outcrops in all this area, the number of available data is virtually unlimited” Wendt (1995, p. 163). The exposed shallow and marginal basin limestones extend from the northwest to southeast for more than 200 kilometers, the central part of which is at 31° 30’ north and 1° 6’ west (Wendt 1995, fig. 1).

Nautiloid cephalopod assemblages from this area remain poorly known. Massa (1965, p. 48) reports a Silurian-Lochkovian sequence cropping out in some formations (e.g., Qien Ali, Zemlet, Saheb el Djir) described by Alimen et al. (1952), Poueyto (1950, 1952), and Menchikoff (1933). In the Tafilalet-Maider Basin and associated platform, Massa (1965) reports: Calorthoceras? pseudocalamiteum fide Engeser "Data Retrieval System Nautiloidea", 1997-2002 in CD-ROM, after courtesy of the Author.(Barrande in Quensted 1851)—already regarded as Anaspyroceras by various authors, Chen in Chen et al. (1981, p. 98) systematically revised the above reported Barrande taxon.
as type species of the newly proposed genus Calorthoceras—Lamellothorcheceras coralliforme
Le Maitre, 1952 (revised as belonging to Corallo-
ceras by Zhuravleva 1962), L. vermiculare Term-
ier and Termier, 1955, various Orthoceratidae spp.
ind., and Gomphoceras sp. sensu Massa, 1965.
The remnant reported cephalopod fauna mainly
contains various genera of ammonoids (e.g., Ago-
niatites, Foordites, Blacheoceras, Cabrioceras,
Cymaclymenia, Cyrtoclymenia, Curvites,
Dimeroceras, Discoclymenia, Gonioclymenia,
Kosmoclymenia, Koenetites, Lobotornocrates,
Maenioceras, Manticoceras, Mimosphinctes,
Pharciceras, Pinacites, Prionoceras, Platicly-
menia, Sobolewia, Sellanarcestes, Sporadoc-
ceras, Sphenoclymenia, Subanarcestes,
Symphariceras, Timanites, Tornoceras, Trich-
oclymenia, Wedekindella, and Wocklumeria)
linked to ‘Kellwasser facies’. Consequently, it is not
possible to compare these ammonoid assem-
bles with those of the so-called ‘Clymeniae
Limestone’ of Sardinia which is pelagic.

Additional data on the Silurian Ougarta
Chains (Aulacogen) is provided by Legrand (1981).
Orthocone nautiloids occur in South Algeria in pro-
fusion and their sedimentology and taphonomy
have been investigated by Wendt et al. (1993) and

Western Pyrenees [D]

According to Depéret and Loutrel (1908-9, p.
111) the best outcrops of fossiliferous dark lime-
stone included nodules of various dimensions in
which Orthocycloceras? fluminese (Meneghini
1857) (a senior synonym for O. bohemicum Barr-
rande 1866, see Serpagli and Gnoli 1977), Ariono-
ceras? severum (Barrande 1868),
Parakionoceras originale (Barrande 1868), Pro-
tobactrites styloideum (Barrande 1866), Culo-
menoceras cf. agassizi (Barrande 1866),
Metaspyroceras subannulare (Münster 1840)
(may belong to Metaspyroceras, see Zhuravleva
1978), and Plagiostomoceras pleurotomus
(Barrande 1866) occur. These represent a Silurian
nautiloid cephalopod fauna that occurs near the
village of Castelnau together with the bivalves
Maminka sp. ind., Panenka cf. subaegualis, a
pygidium of the trilobite Arethusina, the graptolite
Monograptus pridon, and the brachiopod
Atrypa cf. philomelaea.

Spain - Catalunya [E].

Vidal (1875) reports Orthoceras regularis
(Schlotheim 1820) and Orthoceras bohemicum
Barrande, 1868 [senior synonym of Orthocy-
cloceras? fluminese (Meneghini 1857)] from the cen-
tral region of Lérida Province and characterizing
the Pridoli (see also Serpagli and Gnoli 1977). The
presence of the latter was confirmed by Barrois
(1901). Faura Hy Sans (1913) notes ‘Orthoceras’
portion with the bivalve Cardiola Interrupta
from Catalunya: Columenoceras grande
(Meneghini, 1857) and Parakionoceras originale
(Barrande 1868), and Vericeras? barroisi (Vidal
1914). Note that Orthoceras barroisi Vidal 1914
is here regarded as dubiously belonging to
Vericeras Kolebaba because Vidal in the descrip-
tion of his newly proposed species writes: “y se dis-
tinguen por las finas y rectas costillas longitudinales que con mucha regularidad cubren
la concha. Esta, en vez de aparecer todas iguales,
como en el O. originale, presentan diferente
relieve, aunque no mucho, distinguiéndose costillas
principales y otras secundarias”. These features
are peculiar to the Kolebaba genus Vericeras. It is
suspected that the correct identification is
Vericeras cf. ambigena (Barrande 1867).

Eastern Pyrenees - Aquitaine [F]

These areas are geologically linked by the
collision that allowed subduction of the southern
Iberian Plate (Ebro pro-foresetland basin) below the
northern European Plate (Aquitaine retro-foresetland
basin) beyond the eastern Pyrenean axial zone
(Morris, in press) in which Silurian sedimentary ter-
rannes were mixed.

Vidal (1886) in the Gerona Province and 1914
in the Upper Silurian (? Pridoli of the Catalan
Pyrenees) records Orthocycloceras? fluminese
(Meneghini 1857), ?Orthoceras distans Barrande
(probably ?Orthoceras distans Barrande, see
Sowerby in Murchison 1839), ?Rayonnoceras
giganteum (Sowerby, 1819), Cycloceras stria-
tum (Sowerby 1840), O. laterale Phillips, 1836, O.
tenuis Wahlenberg, 1821, Mimogeisonoceras
Dum (Barrande 1866), O. placidum Barrande,
1868, Parakionoceras originale (Barrande 1868),
Armenoceras nummularium (Sowerby 1839).

Armoric Massif [G]

From this area Babin (1966) described and
figured the following Silurian and Early Devonian
nautiloid cephalopods: Endoceras? sp.,
Ormoceras puzosi (Barrande 1866), Ormoceras
multicamerata Babin, Kopaninoceras jucun-
dum (Barrande 1870), Arionoceras repetitum
(Barrande), Arionoceras cf. repetitum (Barrande,
1866), Orthocycloceras? cf. fluminese
(Meneghini), Temperoceras temperans (Barr-
rande 1867), Harrisoceras vibrayei (Barrande
1859), Murchinsoniceras murchisoni (Barrande}
1867), Dolorthoceras occidentale Babin, Dolorthoceras n. sp., Mimogoseisonoceras cf. barbarum (Barrande 1870), Geisonoceratoides? bicingulatum (Sanderberger and Sanderberger 1852), Geisonoceroides? sp. 1, 2, Spyroceras pulchrum (Barrande 1866), Spyroceras calami- teum (Münster 1840), Calorthoceras pseudocalamiteum (Barrande in Quensted 1851), Spyroceratinae gen. indet. reluctans Barrande, 1868, Arthrophylum vermiculare (Ternier and Ternier 1955), Arthrophylum gracile (Ternier and Ternier 1955), Jovellania buchi (de Verneuil 1850), Jovellania cf. kochi (Kayser, 1878, revised by Zhuravelva 1974 as belonging to Tripleuroceras), Cyrtoceratites? zeilleri (Bayle 1878), and Cyrtoceratites ? sp. 1-3.

In the Pridoli of ‘la Meignanne’ Kriz and Paris (1982) reported the Cheiopetria bridgei and Snoopya insolita assemblages, including Orthocycloceras? fluminese (Meneghini 1857), Parakionoceras sp. and Orthoceratida indet. div. sp. Babin et al. (1979) also described the nautiloid cephalopods Arionoceras arion (Barrande 1866) [=A. affine (Meneghini 1857)] and Parakionoceras sp. from the Pridoli of the ‘Vouned Section’.

Montagne Noire [H]

In the southern part of the Montagne Noire M.-C. Chaubet (1937, pp. 84, 175) reports that at “Col de l’Orte” and “Combe d’Yzarne” the following nautiloids occur: Sphooceras truncatum (Barrande 1860), “Orthoceras” pyrenaiicum Leymerie, Vericeras barroisi (Vidal 1914), Michelinoceras? perstrictum (Barrande 1867), M. amoenum (Barrande 1867), Orthocycloceras? fluminese (Meneghini 1857), Parakionoceras originales (Barrande 1866), the Dawsonoceros group of annulatum (Sowerby 1816), the Kopaninoceras? group of cavum (Barrande 1867), Protobactrites aff. styloideum (Barrande 1866), Geisonoceras aff. Gryphus (Barrande 1868), Chryzoceras? or Mimogoseisonoceras aff. timidum (Barrande 1866), Metaspyroceras aff. subannulare (Münster 1840), Geisonoceras aff. inchoatum (Barrande 1868), and Arionoceras chaubete (Ristedt 1968). Kriz (1996) also reported from this area and the nearby Mouthoumet Massif the bivalve-domi- nated Cardiola agna figusi Community, Cardiola donigala Community, Cardiola docens Community and the Snoopya insolita Community. Following Chaubet (1937) the ostracodes Bolbozoee bohemica (Barrande), Bolbozoe? lanceolata Canavari, Kloedenia sp., several Entomis (Rich- teria), migrants (Barrande) and some telsonal parts of the phyllocarid Ceratiocaris bohemica (Barrande) are also present.

Southwestern Sardinia [I]


From these data it is evident that there is an intimate cephalopod faunal relationship with Bohemia. This link was pointed out by Serpagli and Gnoli (1977), and Gnoli (1990, 1993) also describes other faunal components (e.g., phyllocarids [Ceratiocaris bohemica (Barrande 1872), C. cornwallisensis damesi Chlupáč 1963, C.}
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The lack of modern taxonomic revision and
unsolved problems of synonymy prevent direct
comparison of the Sardinian fauna with coeval
assemblages from central and southern Europe
(Gnoli 1983, p. 75). However, the beginning of the
Devonian seems to signal a break in the close Sil-
urian relationship between the Sardinian and
Bohemian faunas.

Prague Basin (Czech Republic) [J]
Quite recently Kriz (1998) recognized eight
recurrent events in account seven areas (ibid. figs.
1, 5) from the Middle Wenlock to the Lower Devo-
nian. Cephalopod limestones occur in the Test-
ograptus testis, Colonograptus colonus, upper
Saetograptus_chimera, lower S. linearis,
Monograptus fragmentalis and M. ultimus,
upper M. transgrediens, and lower M. uniformis
uniformis biozones, plus another level recovered by S. Manda (Kriz, personal commun., 2002) at the base of the Saetograptus chimera Biozone.
Kriz (1998, pp. 184, 197) wrote that cephalo-
pod limestone biofacies "usually mark a significant
change in sedimentation in a generally low-energy
environment in sequences dominated by shale with
micritic limestone intercalations. Deposition of the
cephalopod limestone facies took place in the
upper photic zone below wave base, where sur-
face currents reached the bottom and aligned the
shells of nektobenthic cephalopods... indicating
eustatic lowstands, of which most are in agreement
with the standard Silurian sea-level curve (Johnson
1996). Other occurrences may be interpreted as
lowstands caused by syngentic tectonics."
This area remains in a northern position if geo-
graphically compared to already reported localities
and, furthermore belongs to the "Perunica" micro
paleocontinent (Havlíček et al. 1994; Havlíček
1999).
The Prague Basin has benefited from the
extensive work of Barrande (1860, 1865-1874),
who first described and figured more than a thou-
sand Silurian-Lower Devonian nautiloid cephalo-
pods. Many scientists, from the nineteenth century
to now—including the author in 1999—have tried
to carry out systematic revision of this giant work.
However, lack of topotype Barrandian material has,
thus far, prevented the development of a truly phyl-
etic classification. The original material represents
only comparable type-material useful to "routine"
taxonomical work. Without judging the taxonomic
validity of a number of Barrande’s taxa, the sum-
mary of Barrandian revised taxa are presented as
follows.
For details see Gnoli (1999). In addition, there
are a further dozen species revised by Marek
(1998) and Manda (1999, 2001). A more complete
report of valid genera is beyond the scope of this
investigation. For example, Cyrtoceras Goldfuss
1832 is now divided into more than 30 cyrtocado-
nitic-type genera. Moreover, with few exceptions, in
the Barrandian literature it is very difficult to find a
nautiloid cephalopod taxon not already reported in
any of the Gondwanan Europe and North Africa
Terranes.

PALEOPAPULIAN CARBONATE SHELF
In this region four main Silurian-Early Devo-
nian by cephalopod limestone biofacies can be
recognized and linked to one another, including: (1)
Carnic Alps, (2) Bistra Mts. in Western Macedonia
(Bouček et al. 1968), (3) Suva Planina Mountains,
Zebrina locality in Eastern Serbia (Kriz and Veseli-
novic 1974), and (4) Uppony Mt. in northeastern
Hungary (Ebner et al. 1991; Gnoli and Kovács


### Table 1. Summary of Barrandian to date revised taxa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrande’ s time genera</th>
<th>To date revised species</th>
<th>Dzik revised</th>
<th>Others revised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bactrites</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goniatites</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothoceras</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathmoceras</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolphoceras</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyrtoceras</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomphoceras</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyroceras</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hercoceras</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lituites</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophioceras</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nautilus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthoceras</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoceras</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phragmoceras</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tretoceras</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochoceras</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asococeras</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphragmites</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossoceras</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Carnic Alps [K]**

Table rows include:
- Bactrites: 1
- Goniatites: 18 (18-18)
- Nothoceras: 1 (1-1)
- Bathmoceras: 2 (2-2)
- Adolphoceras: 2 (2-2)
- Cyrtoceras: 332 (54-5)
- Gomphoceras: 77 (45-5)
- Gyroceras: 10 (4-0)
- Hercoceras: 2 (1-1)
- Lituites: 1 (0-0)
- Ophioceras: 6 (1-0)
- Nautilus: 8 (6-3)
- Orthoceras: 563 (123-9)
- Endoceras: 3 (0-0)
- Phragmoceras: 49 (14-1)
- Tretoceras: 1 (0-0)
- Trochoceras: 49 (35-7)
- Asococeras: 11 (3-2)
- Aphragmites: 2 (1-0)
- Glossoceras: 2 (1-0)
- Totals: 1140 (311-35)

**Gnoli: Gondwana Cephalopod Paleogeography**
were first described and figured by Serventi and Gnoli (2000). Serventi and Gnoli (2000) also pointed out the Silurian faunal affinities between the Carnic Alps and Central Bohemia (Perunica), with 18 of the 21 species in common. Histon (1999) revised the Silurian nautiloid cephalopods of the Hertitsch (1929) collection previously collected by Stache mainly at “Kokberg” (Mt. Cocco) and stored in the Austrian Geological Survey, among which Oocerina? sp., Barrandeoceras sp., Uranoceras sp., and Lechritrochoceras cf. hoernesi (Barrande 1865) must be added to the Carnic Alps nautiloid assemblage.

Suva Planina Mountains, Eastern Serbia (Kriz and Veselinovic 1975) [L]

“Upper Silurian (Pridoli) and Lower Devonian (Lochkovian) carbonate rocks represent the core of the Suva Planina Mountains in eastern Serbia and are exposed in the upper part of Zil Do, east of Tuponica village and the Rebrina locality near the town of Ni.” (Kriz and Veselinovic 1975, text-figs. 1, 2). In the latter area, in a little quarry, a black bituminous limestone crops out from which Bohemian-type bivalves were recovered, among with the nautiloids Orthocycloceras? fluminese (Meneghini 1857), and Parakionoceras sp. The detailed stratigraphic distribution of the cephalopod-bearing horizons in this locality is shown in Figure 4 after Kiselev (Kiselev, personal commun., 2002).

Bistra Mountains - Western Macedonia [M]

Bouček et al. (1968) wrote “In the mountain Bistra (2163) in Western Macedonia (the internal zone of Dinarides) near the locality named “Tonivoda.” on the right side of the road Maverovo-Galicnik, the clayeys slates the age of which is not yet up to now determined with certitude were developed. Over this series the fine-grained gray limestones with Devonian Crinoids are lying. In the summer of 1963 a rather rich fauna containing relics especially of nautiloid shells followed by tentaculites, badly preserved ostracodes and rarely brachiopods was developed in the layers situated over the clayeys slates, the lenses or intercalations of dark gray sometimes almost black limestones”. In addition, the nautiloids Geisonoceras sp., Dawsonocerina sp. and Plagiostomoceras aff. pleurotomum (Barrande) also occur. According to Bouček et al. (1968, p. 8) these Lochkovian to Pragian rocks exhibit a close relationship with the typical Bohemian fauna.

Upony(I) Mts. (Northeastern Hungary) (Gnoli and Kovács 1992) [N]

Gnoli in Gnoli and Kovács (1992) described and illustrated the following nautiloid orthococone taxa: Michelinoceras (M.) michelini Barrande, 1866, Mimogeisonoceras? cf. librum Barrande, 1870, Kopaninoceras sp. sensu Gnoli in Gnoli and Kovács, 1992, Kionoceras? cf. adactum (Zhravleva 1978), Leurocycloceras? or Dawsonoceras cf. dulce (Barrande 1868) and Columnoceras? cf. grande (Meneghini 1857), all of which are Lower to Middle Ludlow in age.

Ukraine - (former Podolia) continental platform [O]

This area was investigated by Kiselev, 1982, 1995, with summaries of Balashov and Kiselev, 1968; Kiselev, Mironova and Sinitsyna, 1987, who reported the Silurian-Devonian nautiloids: Kionoceras? canaliculatum (Eichwald 1839), K. decora-tum (Eichwald 1861), K. loxias (Hall 1868), K.? ludense (Sowerby), K. neptunicum Barrande, 1868, K. scammoni (McChensney 1861), Harriso-ceras abditum Kiselev, 1968, M. migrants (Barrande 1868), M. amoenum (Barrande 1867), Harrisoceras volkonense Kiselev, 1968, Mimogeisonoceras timidum (Barrande 1868), Eushantungoceras pseudoimbricatum (Barrande 1870), Brodekoceras dnestrovense Balashov, 1975, Mandaloceras ellipticum (M'Coy 1854), “Orthoceras” seps Eichwald 1860, “Cyrtoceras” sp. ind. sensu Eichwald 1860, Dawsonoceras americanum (Foord 1888), D. annulatum (Sowerby 1816), D. dulce (Barrande 1868), Dawsonocerina barrandei Horný, 1956, D. althi (Venyukov 1899), D. hisingeri (Billings), D. kendalense (Blake), “Orthoceras” bacillus Eichwald, 1830, Polygrammoceras bullatum (Sowerby 1839), “Orthoceras” excentricum Sowerby 1839, Phragmoceras? arcuatum Sowerby, 1839, Gompho-ceras pyriforme (Sowerby 1839), Anthoceras vaginatum (Schlotheim 1813), Protokionoceras multilineatum (Venyukov 1899), Peismoceras cf. asperum (Barrande 1865), Phragmoceras longum (Barrande 1865), Metarizoceras sinkovense Balashov, 1968, Uroniella cochleata (Schlotheim 1813), Parakionoceras? annulatocostatum (Billings)—suspected to have been authored actually by Boll 1857–Protokionoceras anticostience Foer-ste in Twenhofel, 1928, P.? cf. virgatum (Sowerby 1839), Jovellania podolica (Siemiradzki), J. ellip-tica (Siemiradzki), Oocerina gorodokia (Balashov 1968), Mandaloceras? ellipticum (M'Coy 1854), Gomphoceras pyriforme (Sowerby), Bickmorites? rapax (Barrande 1865), Ormoceras? cf.

Figure 4. Stratigraphic horizons in which cephalopod Limestone biofacies are distributed, indicated by locality (after Kriz 1998 with adjustments).
As outlined by Kiselev (1982, pp. 57-59) nautiloid faunas occur in the Ukraine with different assemblages in at least four stratigraphic stages: early Wenlock, mid-late Ludlow, Prídolí, and Lochkovian with a hiatus corresponding to the interval between the late Wenlock and early Ludlow. Detailed stratigraphic distribution of the cephalopod-bearing horizons in Ukraine Continental Platform are shown in Figure 4.

Faunal Similarity

The Raup and Crick (1979) statistical procedure was used to obtain a quantitative estimate of similarities between the different taxonomic content of these various nautiloid cephalopod faunas (Appendix I). This index ranges from 0 (no similarity) to 1 (identity). A matrix is presented with the comparisons between all pairs of associations. The Raup-Crick index (Raup and Crick 1979) uses a ‘Monte-Carlo’ randomization procedure, comparing the observed number of taxa occurring in both associations with the distribution of co-occurrences from 1000 random replicates.

In some localities (i.e., African and Eastern Europe) this index is very low despite the fact that the few taxa checked show identity at the species level and are also important from a stratigraphic point of view. Taking into account the value of this index for the Ukraine nautiloid assemblage, results indicate a certain similarity that can provide an idea of a possible assembling of the northern Gondwana margin belt. The median faunal similarity index (FSI) probability value of the Ukrainian Continental Platform nautiloid assemblage (shown in Appendix I in red) compared with 12 other localities corresponds to 0.482. This result appears to support the present paleogeographic reconstruction of northern Gondwana.

To further probe faunal similarity between various tested localities, a Q-mode cluster analysis was also undertaken on a matrix of faunal similarities estimated by means of the Jaccard coefficient. Results are shown in Figure 5. According to this approach, the greatest faunal similarity is recorded from southwestern Sardinia and the Prague Basin (0.89) followed by Carnic Alps and the last two (0.70). These are then followed by similarity between the Tinduf Basin and Anti-Atlas (0.60), between Carnic Alps and Montagne Noire (0.58), and between Eastern Pyrenees-Aquitaine and Suva Planina Mts. (0.50) followed by all others. Without more up-to-date and homogeneous sys-
tematics, it is only possible to make further conclusions if the same scientist checks the various nautiloid assemblages from the localities in which the so-called "Orthoceras Limestone" biofacies occurs.
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