



COURSE DATA

DATA SUBJECT

Code: 35254
Name: Litigation and the theory of proof
Cycle: Undergraduate Studies
ECTS Credits: 4.5
Academic year: 2025-26

STUDY (S)

Degree	Center	Acad. year	Period
1303 - Degree in Law	Facultat de Dret	4	Second quarter

SUBJECT-MATTER

Degree	Subject-matter	Character
1303 - Degree in Law	Litigation and the theory of proof	ELECTIVES

COORDINATION

BONET NAVARRO JOSE

SUMMARY

PREVIOUS KNOWLEDGE

RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER SUBJECTS OF THE SAME DEGREE

There are no specified enrollment restrictions with other subjects of the curriculum.

OTHER REQUIREMENTS

COMPETENCES / LEARNING OUTCOMES

-

Acquire basic knowledge of legal arguments.

Be able to analyse legal problems and synthesise their approach and resolution.

Be able to communicate correctly both orally and in writing in the field of law.



Be able to read and interpret legal texts.

Be able to use legal sources (legal, jurisprudential and doctrinal).

Develop critical awareness for the analysis of the legal system and develop the legal dialectic.

Have negotiation and conciliation skills.

Know the content and application of each of the branches of the legal system.

Understand the legal system as unitary and have an interdisciplinary perspective of legal problems.

DESCRIPTION OF CONTENTS

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

WORKLOAD

PRESENCIAL ACTIVITIES

Activity	Hours
Theoretical and practical classes	45,00



	Total hours	45,00
--	--------------------	--------------

NON PRESENCIAL ACTIVITIES

Activity	Hours
Attendance at other activities	4,00
Individual or group project	3,00
Independent study and work	33,00
Preparation of lessons	12,00
Preparation for assessment activities	3,00
Resolution of case studies	12,50
Total hours	67,50

TEACHING METHODOLOGY

EVALUATION

The evaluation system of the subject will consist of: - A global test whose result will constitute 70% of the value of the final grade. The type of test to be taken will be indicated by the teacher responsible for the subject, and may consist of an oral test or a written test, and with the different possible modalities within each of them: development questions, multiple choice questions, questions short - To pass the subject it will be necessary to pass this global test. - A minimum of three additional evaluable activities, which will be specified by each teacher, as well as their approximate completion or delivery schedule, adjusting to the provisions of this teaching guide. Both in the case of applied activities carried out in the classroom, as well as directed activities, the dedication to them must be counted in the student's total workload. These activities will represent 30% in the final grade.

Regardless of the general evaluation system that is established for all students (written or oral exam), the teaching staff responsible for the subject may determine in the annex to the teaching guide the possibility of changing, with motivation, the final exam modality when it is seen. obliged to take the test on a date different from the official one in application of the rules provided for the case of coincidence of exams in the Regulations for Evaluation and Qualification of Bachelor's and Master's Degrees of the University of Valencia, or any other regulatory cause. established (e.g. cases of justified force majeure). In these cases, the new type of exam to be carried out must maintain the same level of demand and difficulty as that carried out in general for the rest of the group. "Students who have followed the continuous evaluation and have been evaluated by it, in the event of not taking the final test on the official exam date (both in the 1st and 2nd call), will obtain the grade NOT PRESENTED."

REFERENCES

- BONET NAVARRO, J., Litigación y Teoría de la prueba, Tecnos, edición 2025.
- BONET NAVARRO, J., La prueba en el proceso civil. Cuestiones fundamentales, Grupo Difusión, Madrid, 2009, Disponible en: <http://derechoproc.blogspot.com.es/2012/09/portada-httpwww>.



html ESPARZA LEIBAR. I., El dictamen de peritos en la Ley 1/2000 de Enjuiciamiento Civil, Tirant lo Blanch, Valencia, 2000. JIMÉNEZ CONDE, La nueva prueba de interrogatorio de las partes en el proceso civil, Ed. RALJM, Murcia 2006. MONTERO AROCA, J., (Director), La prueba. Cuadernos de Derecho Judicial, ed. CGPJ, Madrid, 2012. MONTERO AROCA, J., La prueba en el proceso civil, Madrid, 2012. ORDOÑO ARTES, C., La prueba de reconocimiento judicial en el proceso civil español, Montecorvo, Madrid, 1987. ORMAZÁBAL SÁNCHEZ, G., La prueba documental y los medios e instrumentos idóneos para reproducir imágenes o sonidos o archivar y conocer datos, La Ley, Madrid, 2000. ORTELLS RAMOS, M., Las medidas cautelares, Editorial La Ley, Madrid, 2000 ORTELLS RAMOS, M., El embargo preventivo (Doctrina y jurisprudencia), Editorial Comares, Granada, 1998 RODRÍGUEZ TIRADO, El interrogatorio de testigos; Madrid, 2003. SANCHIS CRESPO, C. La prueba por medios audiovisuales e instrumentos de archivo en la LEC 1/2000 (doctrina, jurisprudencia y formularios), colabora CHAVELI DONET, E.A., Tirant lo Blanch, Valencia, 2002.

- DE LA OLIVA SANTOS, A., (Y OTROS), Derecho Procesal Civil, ECERA, última edición. MONTERO AROCA, J., (Y OTROS), Derecho jurisdiccional, Tirant Lo Blanch, última edición. ORTELLS RAMOS, M. (Y OTROS), Derecho Procesal Civil, Aranzadi, última edición.