

**COURSE DATA****DATA SUBJECT**

**Code:** 43255  
**Name:** Taxonomy: Values and policies in animal conservation  
**Cycle:** Master's Degree  
**ECTS Credits:** 3  
**Academic year:** 2026-27

**STUDY (S)**

Degree	Center	Acad. year	Period
--------	--------	------------	--------

**SUBJECT-MATTER**

Degree	Subject-matter	Character
--------	----------------	-----------

**COORDINATION**

AZNAR AVENDAÑO FRANCISCO JAVIER

**SUMMARY**

This course discusses, on the one hand, the intricate relationships that link the ethics and politics of conservation and, on the other hand, how taxonomy profoundly affects these relationships. Determining conservation priorities and allocating resources is not a scientific question, but a political one. The various, often conflicting, political agendas are based on diverse social and individual perceptions that are ultimately based on various, usually implicit, values (ethics, in short) about what is (or is not) to be conserved and why.

In the course we (1) explain the psychological basis for how and why people assign value to things, through a complex interplay between emotion and cognition; (2) establish a taxonomy of values to systematize and identify all those underlying conservation conflicts; (3) link these values to the sociology of conservation; and (4) derive some quantitative (objective) measures of prioritization once conservation values have been identified and agreed upon.

On the other hand, species currently maintain, and are likely to maintain in the foreseeable future, a predominant role in biodiversity conservation policies. However, the use of species as units of conservation is surrounded by problems of a theoretical (what is a species) and operational (how to recognize it) nature, which has led to the formulation of various attempts at solutions ("ecumenical" species concepts, Evolutionary Significant Units, or preservation of evolutionary processes). The implementation of these concepts is far from clear and unanimous; a critical examination is therefore necessary.



The second part of the course attempts to equip the student with scientific principles that will allow him/her to use tools to identify conservation units from a taxonomic and evolutionary point of view, recognizing their virtues and limitations.

Overall, the course seeks to provide elements for the student to form his/her own informed criteria on what to conserve (in a taxonomic context) and why. From this perspective, the course has a fully multidisciplinary and applied vocation. Multidisciplinary, because it brings together concepts from biology and social sciences (especially psychology, ethics and philosophy). Practical, because only by discussing the ethical, political and social intricacies of the actual practice of species conservation will the student be able to transcend a purely 'technical' perspective of the problem.

## PREVIOUS KNOWLEDGE

### RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER SUBJECTS OF THE SAME DEGREE

There are no specified enrollment restrictions with other subjects of the curriculum.

### OTHER REQUIREMENTS

It is advisable, but not essential, to have taken a course on evolutionary biology.

## COMPETENCES / LEARNING OUTCOMES

### 2148 -

Awaken interest in the social and economic application of science.

Be able to access the information required (databases, scientific articles, etc.) and to interpret and use it sensibly.

Be able to access to information tools in other areas of knowledge and use them properly.

Be able to communicate and disseminate scientific ideas.

Encourage ethical commitment and environmental awareness.

Stimulate the capacity for critical reasoning and for argumentation based on rational criteria.

Students should apply acquired knowledge to solve problems in unfamiliar contexts within their field of study, including multidisciplinary scenarios.

Students should be able to integrate knowledge and address the complexity of making informed judgments based on incomplete or limited information, including reflections on the social and ethical responsibilities associated with the application of their knowledge and judgments.

To be able to assess the need to complete the scientific, historical, language, informatics, literature, ethics, social and human background in general, attending conferences, courses or doing complementary activities, self-assessing the contribution of these activities towards a comprehensive development.



## DESCRIPTION OF CONTENTS

### **1. Ethics and values in biodiversity conservation: a taxonomy. Criteria for resource prioritization. Criteria for resource prioritization.**

Cognitive systems (Kahneman). Conservation psychology and social intuitionism. Values and conservation: a taxonomy. Moral dilemmas in conservation arising from conflicting values. Flow model in conservation decision making. The social construction and value of species. Prioritization of resources for conservation: measures and methods. Taxonomic distinctiveness. Phylogenetic distance. Evolutionary distinctiveness. EDGE method.

### **2. The species as a unit (currency) in biodiversity conservation. Species concepts. Species concepts and conflicts in conservation priorities.**

Ontology and epistemology of species. Main species concepts: advantages and problems. Biological concept. Species as genotypic clusters. Genetic distance between populations. DNA barcoding as a method of identification. Ecological concept of species. Monophyletic / genealogical concept of species. Reciprocal monophyly, exclusivity, genetic coalescence. Phylogenetic concept based on diagnosability. Population aggregation analysis. Cladistic aggregation of haplotypes. Ecumenical solution: species as metapopulational lineages. Multicriteria analysis for species detection. Species concepts and conflicts in conservation priorities. Conflicts over objectives. Legal aspects. Economic aspects. Sociological aspects.

### **3. Evolutionary Significant Units (ESU). Concepts of ESU. Genetic and ecological distinctiveness as prioritization criteria.**

History and need for ESUs. The ambiguity of the concept of distinctive population segment. Evolutionary Significant Unit sensu Ryder (1986). Evolutionary Significant Unit sensu Moritz (1994, 1995). Problems with reciprocal monophyly. Conservation of evolutionary processes (Crandall et al. 2000). Genetic interchangeability / distinctiveness. Ecological interchangeability / distinctiveness. Detection methods. Management criteria.

This is a practical unit in which students must put into play everything they have learned in the previous units. The students, working in groups, should establish, through the elaboration of a conceptual map, a standard protocol that allows them to determine, in a realistic way, if an animal population meets the requirements to be considered as an entity with taxonomic and/or ecological distinctiveness.

Assuming that the population meets either of the two requirements, the next step is to determine and justify, in an exhaustive manner, the dimensions of value that the different stakeholders (scientists, politicians, citizens, businessmen) would defend, and what role taxonomic evidence plays in decision-



#### 4. Conservation taxonomy in the real world: development of a case study.

This is a practical unit in which students must put into play everything they have learned in the previous units. The students, working in groups, should establish, through the elaboration of a conceptual map, a standard protocol that allows them to determine, in a realistic way, if an animal population of meets the requirements to be considered as an entity with taxonomic and/or ecological distinctiveness. making.

### WORKLOAD

#### PRESENCIAL ACTIVITIES

Activity	Hours
<b>Total hours</b>	<b>0,00</b>

#### NON PRESENCIAL ACTIVITIES

Activity	Hours
Attendance at other activities	0,00
Individual or group project	25,00
Independent study and work	10,00
Preparation of lessons	5,00
Preparation for assessment activities	0,00
Resolution of case studies	5,00
<b>Total hours</b>	<b>45,00</b>

### TEACHING METHODOLOGY

The following methodologies will be used in the course:

1. Participatory lectures. They will be used to teach the contents of Units 1-3.
2. Practical classes. Unit 4. The main concepts discussed in Units 1-3 will be put into practice. It includes the elaboration of a conceptual map and a role playing.
3. Seminars / workshops. They will be used for students to present real cases in which taxonomy creates or solves conflicts in the conservation of animal species.
4. Problem-based learning. It will be used as a home-work duty where students must solve problems and paradoxes associated with conservation ethics.
5. Case studies. It will be used as the main home-work duty of the course. A complex conservation conflict will be presented in which taxonomy plays a key role. Students will have to analyze all the available information and give a well-grounded opinion based on rational argumentation.



6. Flipped Classroom. It will be used as a non-face-to-face activity where students will work on a scientific article related to the diagnosis of a species to discuss it together in class.

## EVALUATION

The learning outcomes will be evaluated as follows:

1. Critical commentary on a taxonomy and conservation issue. Deliverable at the end of the course. 50% of the final grade. There will be a face-to-face discussion in which the students, by groups (number of students per group depending on the number of students enrolled).
2. Problem solving. Activity by groups (number of students per group depending on the number of students enrolled). Deliverable throughout the course. 15% of the final grade.
3. Poster on the application of concepts to a practical case of distinctiveness criteria. Group activity. Face-to-face presentation (it is not necessary to submit written work). Compulsory: 35% of the final grade. Equal grade for all members of the group.

All items must have a score equal to or higher than 5.

## REFERENCES

- Aznar F.J., Fernández M., Raduán, M.A. et al. (2013). Fostering students recognition of taxonomies of values in scientific debates: a proposal for zoology courses. Proceedings of the 2013 International Technology, Education and Development Conference, Valencia (Spain).
- Agapow, P.-M., Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P., Crandall, K.A., Gittleman, J.L., Mace, G.M., Marshall, J.C. & Purvis, A. (2004). The impact of species concept on biodiversity studies. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 79: 161-179.
- Bosworth, A., Chaipraditkul, N., MingMing, C., Gupta, A., Junmookda, K., Kadam, P., Macer, D.R.J., Millet, C., Sangaroonthong, J. & Waller, A. (2011). Ethics and biodiversity. Bangkok, Regional Unit for Social and Human Sciences in Asia and the Pacific (RUSHSAP), UNESCO Bangkok. v + 102 pp.
- Bowen, B.W. (1999). Preserving genes, species, or ecosystems? Healing the fractured foundations of conservation policy. *Molecular Ecology* 8: S5S10.
- Bröring, U. & Wiegler, G. (2005). Assessing biodiversity in SEA. Pp. 523538 in: Schmidt M., João E. and Albrecht E. (Eds.). *Implementing Strategic Environmental Assessment*. Springer, Berlin,



Germany.

- Crandall, K.A., Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P., Mace, G.M. & Wayne, R.K. (2000). Considering evolutionary processes in conservation biology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 15: 290-295.
- Czech, B., Krausman, P.R. & Borkhataria, R. (1998). Social construction, political power, and the allocation of benefits to endangered species. *Conservation Biology* 12: 1103-1112.
- Fraser, D.J. & L. Bernatchez. (2001). Adaptive evolutionary conservation: towards a unified concept for defining conservation units. *Molecular Ecology* 10:2741-2752.
- Hey, J. (2001). The mind of the species problem. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16: 326-329.
- Hey J., Waples R.S., Arnold M.L., Butlin R.K. & Harrison R.G. (2003). Understanding and confronting species uncertainty in biology and conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 18: 597-603.
- Humphries, C.J., Williams P.H. & Vane-Wright, R.I. (1995). Measuring biodiversity value for conservation. *Annual Reviews of Ecology and Systematics* 26: 93-111.
- Isaac, N.J.B., Mallet, J., & Mace, G.M. (2004). Taxonomic inflation: its influence on macroecology and conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 19: 464-469.
- Mallet, J. (1995). A species definition for the Modern Synthesis. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 10: 294-300.
- Moritz, C. (2002). Strategies to protect biological diversity and the evolutionary processes that sustain it. *Systematic Biology* 51: 238-254.
- Purvis, A. & A. Hector. (2000). Getting the measure of biodiversity. *Nature* 405: 212-219.
- Rader, R.B., Belk, M.C., Shiozawa, D.K., and Crandall, K.A. (2005). Empirical tests for ecological exchangeability. *Animal Conservation* 8: 239-247.
- Wiegand, G. (2004). Ecologically informed values of biodiversity for conservation and restoration. *Forum*. Internet address: <http://www.tu-cottbus.de/BTU/Fak4/AllgOeko/>, last accessed 15.01.2013
- Aznar, F.J., Córdoba, A.I., Fernández, M., et al. (2013) How students perceive the university's mission in a Spanish university: liberal versus entrepreneurial education? *Cultura y Educación*, 25



(1), 17-33.

- Marmaneu J.M., Aznar F.J. (2017). ¿Por qué conservamos la biodiversidad? Un breve recorrido sociológico, psicológico y moral. Cuadernos de Biodiversidad 52: 18-23.