A PDE approach to OPTIMAL MATCHING PROBLEMS

Julián Toledo

Universitat de València

Alacant 2018

Coauthors: J. M. Mazón and J. D. Rossi

N. Igbida and V. T. Nguyen

Plan

- 1 Optimal matching problems
- 2 Optimal matching with constrains

1. Optimal matching problems

We want to transport two commodities (modeled by two measures that encode the spacial distribution of each commodity) to a given location,

the target set,

where they will match, minimizing the total transport cost, given in terms of the Euclidean distance.



In mathematical terms.

We fix two non-negative compactly supported functions $f_1, f_2 \in L^{\infty}$, with supports X_1, X_2 , respectively, satisfying

$$M_0 := \int_{X_1} f_1 = \int_{X_2} f_2 > 0.$$

We also consider a compact set Γ (the target set).

We take a large bounded smooth domain Ω . We assume

 $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset,$ $(X_1 \cup X_2) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset,$ $(X_1 \cup X_2) \cup \Gamma \subset \subset \Omega.$ Given a measure $\mu \in M^+(X)$ (say $X = \Omega$ or $X = \Omega \times \Omega$) and $H: X \to Y$ measurable, we define

 $H \# \mu(E) = \mu(H^{-1}(E))$ for Borelian sets $E \subset Y$.

The Wasserstein distance

for
$$\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$$
, $\mu(\Omega) = \nu(\Omega)$,
 $W_1(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega \times \Omega) \\ \pi_x \# \gamma = \mu}} \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |x - y| d\gamma(x, y).$

gives the optimal cost of transporting μ to ν .

Kantorovich Theorem

$$W_1(\mu_0,\mu_1) = \sup\left\{\int_{\Omega} u \, d(\mu_0 - \mu_1) : u \in K_1\right\},$$

where

$$K_1 := \{ u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} : |u(x) - u(y)| \le |x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega \}.$$

A maximizer is called a Kantorovich potential.

The optimal matching problem consist in solving $\min_{\substack{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega \times \Omega)^2}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |x - y| d\gamma_1(x, y) + \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |x - y| d\gamma_2(x, y) \right\}.$ $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega \times \Omega)^2$ $\pi_x \# \gamma_i = f_i$ $\pi_y \# \gamma_1 = \pi_y \# \gamma_2$ $\operatorname{supp}(\pi_y \# \gamma_i) \subset \Gamma$

(1.1)



If (γ_1, γ_2) is a minimizer of (1.1), we shall call an *optimal matching measure to:*

 $\rho = \pi_y \# \gamma_1 = \pi_y \# \gamma_2.$

Consider

$$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, M_0) := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega) : \operatorname{supp}(\mu) \subset \Gamma, \ \mu(\Omega) = M_0 \}$$

the set of all possible matching measures, then

$$\min_{\cdots} \left\{ \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |x - y| d\gamma_1(x, y) + \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |x - y| d\gamma_2(x, y) \right\}$$
$$= \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, M_0)} \left\{ W_1(f_1, \mu) + W_1(f_2, \mu) \right\} =: W_{f_1, f_2}^{\Gamma}.$$

Using the weakly lower semi-continuity of

 $\nu \mapsto W_1(\mu, \nu)$

one proves that there exist an optimal matching measure.

Using the weakly lower semi-continuity of

 $\nu \mapsto W_1(\mu, \nu)$

one proves that there exist an optimal matching measure.

Uniqueness of matching measures is not true in general.

Using the weakly lower semi-continuity of $\nu\mapsto W_1(\mu,\nu)$

one proves that there exist an optimal matching measure.

Uniqueness of matching measures is not true in general.

There exist optimal matching measures supported on the boundary of the target set.

Evans and Gangbo approach

For $\mu_0 = f_+ \mathcal{L}^N$ and $\mu_1 = f_- \mathcal{L}^N$, $f_+, f_- \in L^1(\Omega)$ smooth, Evans and Gangbo find a Kantorovich potential as a limit, as $p \to +\infty$, of solutions to a p-Laplace equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions in a large ball,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_p = f_+ - f_- \text{ in } B(0, R), \\ u_p = 0 & \text{ on } \partial B(0, R) \end{cases}$$

They prove:

 u_p converge uniformly to $u^* \in K_1$ as $p \to +\infty$;

 u^* is a Kantorovich potential;

and there exists $0 \le a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (the transport density) such that

$$f_+ - f_- = -\operatorname{div}(a\nabla u^*)$$
 in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

Furthermore $|\nabla u^*| = 1$ a.e. in the set $\{a > 0\}$.

We give a p-Laplacian approach (following E-G) of the OMP.

We get a matching measure

(that also encodes the location for the matching) and a pair of Kantorovich potentials

by

taking limit as $p \to \infty$ in a variational p-Laplacian type system, which is nontrivially coupled.

For p > N consider the variational problem

$$\min_{\substack{(v,w) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ v+w \ge 0 \text{ in } \Gamma}} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p + \int_{\Omega} v f_1 + \int_{\Omega} w f_2.$$
(1.2)

Theorem 1.1 (MRT).

1. There exists a minimizer (v_p, w_p) of (1.2).

2. There exists a positive Radon measure h_p of mass M_0 , supported on $\{x \in \Gamma : v_p(x) + w_p(x) = 0\}$, such that $\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left(|\nabla v_p(x)|^{p-1} \nabla v_p(x) \right) = h_p - f_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla v_p(x)|^{p-1} \nabla v_p(x) \cdot \eta = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla w_p(x)|^{p-1}\nabla w_p(x)\right) = h_p - f_2 & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla w_p(x)|^{p-1}\nabla w_p(x) \cdot \eta = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where η is the exterior normal vector on $\partial \Omega$.

Theorem 1.2 (MRT). There exists a subsequence $p_i \rightarrow +\infty$:

1. $\lim_{i\to\infty} v_{p_i} = v_{\infty}$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} w_{p_i} = w_{\infty}$ uniformly, where (v_{∞}, w_{∞}) is a solution of the variational problem

$$\max_{\substack{v,w \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \\ |\nabla v(x)|, |\nabla w(x)| \leq 1 \text{ a.e.} \\ v+w \geq 0 \text{ in } \Gamma}} - \int_{\Omega} v f_1 - \int_{\Omega} w f_2 =: KW_{f_1,f_2}^{\Gamma}.$$

Observe:

$$-\int vf_1 - \int wf_2 = -\int vd\pi_x \#\gamma_1 - \int w\pi_x \#\gamma_2$$
$$= -\int \int v(x)d\gamma_1(x,y) - \int \int w(x)d\gamma_2(x,y)$$

Theorem 1.2 (MRT). For a subsequence $p_i \to +\infty$,

1. $\lim_{i\to\infty} v_{p_i} = v_{\infty}$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} w_{p_i} = w_{\infty}$ uniformly, where (v_{∞}, w_{∞}) is a solution of the variational problem

$$\max_{\substack{v,w \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \\ |\nabla v(x)|, |\nabla w(x)| \leq 1 \text{ a.e.} \\ v+w \geq 0 \text{ in } \Gamma}} - \int_{\Omega} v f_1 - \int_{\Omega} w f_2 =: KW_{f_1,f_2}^{\Gamma}$$

Observe:

$$-\int vf_1 - \int wf_2 = -\int vd\pi_x \#\gamma_1 - \int w\pi_x \#\gamma_2$$
$$= -\int \int v(x)d\gamma_1(x,y) - \int \int w(x)d\gamma_2(x,y)$$
$$\leq \int \int (v(y) - v(x))d\gamma_1(x,y) + \int \int (w(y) - w(x))d\gamma_2(x,y)$$
$$\leq \int \int |x - y|d\gamma_1(x,y) + \int \int |x - y|d\gamma_2(x,y)$$

2.
$$KW_{f_1,f_2}^{\Gamma} = W_{f_1,f_2}^{\Gamma}$$
.

2.
$$KW_{f_1,f_2}^{\Gamma} = W_{f_1,f_2}^{\Gamma}$$

3. $\lim_{i\to\infty} h_{p_i} = \rho$ weakly^{*} as measures, with ρ a positive Radon measure of mass M_0 supported on

$$\{x \in \Gamma : v_{\infty}(x) + w_{\infty}(x) = 0\}.$$

2.
$$KW_{f_1,f_2}^{\Gamma} = W_{f_1,f_2}^{\Gamma}$$

3. $\lim_{i\to\infty} h_{p_i} = \rho$ weakly^{*} as measures, with ρ a positive Radon measure of mass M_0 supported on

$$\{x \in \Gamma : v_{\infty}(x) + w_{\infty}(x) = 0\}.$$

4. ρ is an **optimal matching measure** for the matching problem.

5. We also have:

 v_{∞} is a Kantorovich potential for the transport of f_1 to ρ and

 w_{∞} is a Kantorovich potential for the transport of f_2 to ρ ;

2. Optimal matching with constrains

Consider a more realistic case:

there are some constraints on the amount of material we can transport to points in the target.

This amount is represented with a nonnegative Radon measure Θ in Ω (with support Γ).

The restriction says:

for any set $E \subset \Omega$, the amount of material matched there does not exceeds $\int_E d\Theta$,

We need the condition $\int_{\Omega} d\Theta > M_0$.



Our aim now is to study

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Theta, M_0)} \left\{ W_1(f_1, \mu) + W_1(f_2, \mu) \right\} =: W_{f_1, f_2}^{\Theta},$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(\Theta, M_0) := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega) : \mu(\Omega) = M_0, \ \mu \leq \Theta \}$$

is now the set of all possible optimal matching measures. Ω will be a large convex domain.

Theorem 2.1 (MRT).

$$W_{f_1,f_2}^{\Theta} = \max_{\substack{v,w \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \\ |\nabla v|(x), |\nabla w|(x) \le 1 \text{ a.e.}}} \left\{ -\int_{\Omega} v f_1 - \int_{\Omega} w f_2 - \int_{\Omega} (v+w)^- d\Theta \right\}.$$
(2.3)

We can obtain a pair of maximizers by taking limits as pgoes to $+\infty$ of a pair of minimizers (v_p, w_p) of

$$\min_{v,w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p + \int_{\Omega} v f_1 + \int_{\Omega} w f_2 + \int_{\Omega} (v+w)^- d\Theta,$$
(2.4)

and, also, a matching measure.

Theorem 2.2 (MRT).

Let (v_p, w_p) be minimizer functions of (2.4).

Set
$$\mathcal{V}_p := |\nabla v_p|^{p-2} \nabla v_p$$
 and $\mathcal{W}_p := |\nabla w_p|^{p-2} \nabla w_p$.

Then:

1. The distributions \mathcal{V}_{p}^{η} , \mathcal{W}_{p}^{η} in \mathbb{R}^{N} given by $\langle \mathcal{V}_{p}^{\eta}, \varphi \rangle := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{V}_{p} \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} f_{1} \varphi \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N}),$ $\langle \mathcal{W}_{p}^{\eta}, \varphi \rangle := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}_{p} \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} f_{2} \varphi \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{N}).$

are equal and are positive Radon measures supported on $\{v_p + w_p \le 0\} \cap \Gamma$.

Formally:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v_p(x)|^{p-1}\nabla v_p(x)\right) = \mathcal{V}_p^{\eta} - f_1, \\ -\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla w_p(x)|^{p-1}\nabla w_p(x)\right) = \mathcal{V}_p^{\eta} - f_2. \end{cases}$$

 \mathcal{V}_p^{η} is a positive Radon measure supported on $\{v_p + w_p \leq 0\} \cap \Gamma.$

2. There exist Radon measures \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W} in Ω and ρ in Γ , and a sequence $p_i \to +\infty$, such that

 $(v_{p_i}, w_{p_i}) \rightarrow (v_{\infty}, w_{\infty})$ uniformly in Ω , $\mathcal{V}_{p_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ weakly* in the sense of measures in Ω , $\mathcal{W}_{p_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ weakly* in the sense of measures in Ω , $\mathcal{V}_{p_i}^{\eta} \rightarrow \rho$ weakly* in the sense of measures in Γ , **2**. There exist Radon measures \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W} in Ω and ρ in Γ , and a sequence $p_i \to +\infty$, such that

 $(v_{p_i}, w_{p_i}) \rightarrow (v_{\infty}, w_{\infty})$ uniformly in Ω , $\mathcal{V}_{p_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ weakly* in the sense of measures in Ω , $\mathcal{W}_{p_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ weakly* in the sense of measures in Ω , $\mathcal{V}_{p_i}^{\eta} \rightarrow \rho$ weakly* in the sense of measures in Γ , **3.** (v_{∞}, w_{∞}) is a solution of (2.3) and ρ is an optimal matching measure. **2**. There exist Radon measures \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W} in Ω and ρ in Γ , and a sequence $p_i \to +\infty$, such that

 $(v_{p_i}, w_{p_i}) \rightarrow (v_{\infty}, w_{\infty})$ uniformly in Ω , $\mathcal{V}_{p_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ weakly* in the sense of measures in Ω , $\mathcal{W}_{p_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ weakly* in the sense of measures in Ω , $\mathcal{V}_{p_i}^{\eta} \rightarrow \rho$ weakly* in the sense of measures in Γ , 3. (v_{∞}, w_{∞}) is a solution of (2.3) and ρ is an optimal matching measure. Moreover,

 $0 \le \rho \le \Theta \, \lfloor \, \{ v_{\infty} + w_{\infty} \le 0 \},$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} (v_{\infty} + w_{\infty})^{-} d\rho = \int_{\Omega} (v_{\infty} + w_{\infty})^{-} d\Theta.$$

From the above result we can infer that

$$-\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{V}) = \rho - f_1,$$

 $-\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{W}) = \rho - f_2,$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{\infty} d\mathcal{V} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla w_{\infty} d\mathcal{W}$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega} f_1 v_{\infty} - \int_{\Omega} f_2 w_{\infty} + \int_{\Omega} (w_{\infty} + v_{\infty}) d\mathcal{W}$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega} f_1 v_{\infty} - \int_{\Omega} f_2 w_{\infty} + \int_{\Gamma} (w_{\infty} + v_{\infty}) d\rho.$$

Theorem 2.3 (INT).

$$W_{f_1,f_2}^{\Theta} = \min_{\substack{\Phi_1,\Phi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_b(\overline{\Omega})^N \\ \nu \in \mathcal{M}_b^+(\overline{\Omega}) \\ -\nabla \cdot \Phi_i = \Theta - \nu - f_i}} \left\{ |\Phi_1|(\overline{\Omega}) + |\Phi_2|(\overline{\Omega}) \right\},\$$

Let us call this minimizing problem as

minimal matching flow problem (MMF).

Theorem 2.4 (INT).

Let (Φ_1, Φ_2, ν) be an optimal solution for (MMF). If $\nu \leq \Theta$ then $\Theta - \nu$ is an optimal matching measure and Φ_i is an optimal flow for transporting f_i onto ρ , i = 1, 2.

Then, the connection between both approaches lies in the condition $\nu \leq \Theta$ for an optimal solution (Φ_1, Φ_2, ν) of (MMF). Unfortunately, this does not hold in general.



However, consider the assumption

$$S(f_1, f_2) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\Theta) = \emptyset,$$
 (H)

where $S(f_1, f_2) := \{ [x, y] : x \in supp(f_1), y \in supp(f_2) \}$.

Theorem 2.5 (INT).

Let f_1, f_2, Θ be such that (H) holds. Let (Φ_1, Φ_2, ν) be an optimal solution for (MMF). Then $\Theta - \nu \ge 0$ and it is an optimal matching measure.

However, consider the assumption

$$S(f_1, f_2) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\Theta) = \emptyset,$$
 (H)

where $S(f_1, f_2) := \{ [x, y] : x \in supp(f_1), y \in supp(f_2) \}$.

Theorem 2.5 (INT).

Let f_1, f_2, Θ be such that (H) holds. Let (Φ_1, Φ_2, ν) be an optimal solution for (MMF). Then $\Theta - \nu \ge 0$ and it is an optimal matching measure.

Theorem 2.6 (INT).

Let f_1, f_2, Θ be such that (H) holds, and $\Theta \in L^1$. Then there exists a unique optimal matching measure:

 $\Theta \bigsqcup [u_1 + u_2 < 0],$

for any maximizer (u_1, u_2) of the dual problem

To solve numerically the above problem:

For any
$$u = (u_1, u_2) \in V := C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^1(\overline{\Omega})$$
, set

$$\mathcal{F}(u) := \int u_1 df_1 + \int u_2 df_2 - \int (u_1 + u_2) d\Theta$$

$$\Lambda(u) := (\nabla u_1, \nabla u_2, u_1 + u_2),$$

and, for any
$$(p, q, s) \in Z := C(\overline{\Omega})^N \times C(\overline{\Omega})^N \times C(\overline{\Omega})$$
, set

$$\mathcal{G}(p, q, s) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |p(x)| \leq 1, |q(x)| \leq 1, s(x) \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Fenchel–Rockafellar:

$$\inf_{u \in V} \mathcal{F}(u) + \mathcal{G}(\Lambda u) = \sup_{\sigma \in Z^*} \left(-\mathcal{F}^*(-\Lambda^*\sigma) - \mathcal{G}^*(\sigma) \right).$$
(2.5)

Consider a regular triangulation \mathcal{T}_h of $\overline{\Omega}$.

For an integer $k \ge 1$, consider P_k the set of polynomials of degree less or equal than k.

Take $E_h \subset H^1(\Omega)$, the space of continuous functions on $\overline{\Omega}$ and belonging to P_k on each triangle of \mathcal{T}_h .

Denote by Y_h the space of vectorial functions such that their restrictions belong to $(P_{k-1})^N$ on each triangle of \mathcal{T}_h .

Set $V_h := E_h \times E_h$ and $Z_h := Y_h \times Y_h \times E_h$.

Let $f_{1,h}, f_{2,h}, \Theta_h \in E_h$ be such that $f_{1,h}(\Omega)=f_{2,h}(\Omega)<\Theta_h(\Omega)$, $f_{1,h} \rightharpoonup f_1$, weakly* in $\mathcal{M}_b(\overline{\Omega})$, $f_{2,h} \rightharpoonup f_2$, weakly* in $\mathcal{M}_b(\overline{\Omega})$, $\Theta_h \rightharpoonup \Theta$ weakly* in $\mathcal{M}_b(\overline{\Omega})$.

For any $(u_1, u_2) \in V_h$, set $\Lambda_h(u_1, u_2) := (\nabla u_1, \nabla u_2, u_1 + u_2) \in Z_h,$ $\mathcal{F}_h(u_1, u_2) := \langle u_1, f_{1,h} \rangle + \langle u_2, f_{2,h} \rangle - \langle u_1 + u_2, \Theta_h \rangle,$

and for any
$$(p,q,s) \in Z_h$$
,

$$\mathcal{G}_h(p,q,s) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |p(x)| \le 1, \ |q(x)| \le 1, \ s(x) \le 0 \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.7 (INT). Let $(u_{1,h}, u_{2,h}) \in V_h$ be an optimal solution to the finite-dimensional approximation problem

$$\inf_{(u_1, u_2) \in V_h} \mathcal{F}_h(u_1, u_2) + \mathcal{G}_h(\Lambda_h(u_1, u_2)).$$
(2.6)

such that $\int_{\Omega} u_{1,h} = \int_{\Omega} u_{2,h}$, and let $(\Phi_{1,h}, \Phi_{2,h}, \nu_h)$ be an optimal dual solution to (2.6). Then, up to a subsequence, $(u_{1,h}, u_{2,h})$ converges uniformly to (u_1^*, u_2^*) an optimal solution of the dual maximization problem, and $(\Phi_{1,h}, \Phi_{2,h}, \nu_h)$ converges weakly* to (Φ_1, Φ_2, ν) an optimal solution of (MMF).

We solve the finite-dimensional problem (2.6) by using the ALG2 method.

$$\begin{split} f_1 &= 4\chi_{[(x-0.2)^2 + (y-0.8)^2 < 0.01]}, \ f_2 &= 4\chi_{[(x-0.2)^2 + (y-0.2)^2 < 0.01]}, \\ \Theta &= 4\chi_{[(x-0.8)^2 + (y-0.2)^2 < 0.04]}. \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} f_1 &= 4\chi_{[(x-0.1)^2 + (y-0.9)^2 < 0.01]}, \ f_2 &= 4\chi_{[(x-0.7)^2 + (y-0.3)^2 < 0.01]}, \\ \Theta &= 4\chi_{[(x-0.2)^2 + (y-0.2)^2 < 0.04]} + 4\chi_{[(x-0.6)^2 + (y-0.6)^2 < 0.0064]}. \end{split}$$

