Opposing phylogenetic diversity gradients of plant and soil bacterial communities
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Plants and soil microbes show parallel patterns of species-level diversity. Diverse plant communities release a wider range of organics that are consumed by more microbial species. We speculated, however, that diversity metrics accounting for the evolutionary distance across community members would reveal opposing patterns between plant and soil bacterial phylogenetic diversity. Plant phylogenetic diversity enhances plant productivity and thus expectedly soil fertility. This, in turn, might reduce bacterial phylogenetic diversity by favouring one (or a few) competitive bacterial clade. We collected topsoils in 15 semi-arid plant patches and adjacent low-cover areas configuring a plant phylodiversity gradient, pyrosequenced the 16S rRNA gene to identify bacterial taxa and analysed soil fertility parameters. Structural equation modelling showed positive effects of both plant richness and phylogenetic diversity on soil fertility. Fertility increased bacterial richness but reduced bacterial phylogenetic diversity. This might be attributed to the competitive dominance of a lineage based on its high relative fitness. This suggests biotic interactions as determinants of the soil bacterial community assembly, while emphasizing the need to use phylogeny-informed metrics to tease apart the processes underlying the patterns of diversity.

1. Background

Unravelling the linkages between plants and soil microbes is crucial to understand their community assembly and the functioning of ecosystems [1,2]. Traditionally, diversity patterns of plants and decomposers have been explored at the species level and generally found to be positively related [3,4] although results can be context-dependent [5]. Attempts to link above- and below-ground diversity by using phylogeny-informed variables might help uncover the ecological processes underlying community patterns [6]. The reason behind this statement is that phylogenetic diversity metrics account for the evolutionary distance among co-existing organisms and, since traits tend to be phylogenetically conserved [7,8], these measures integrate the ecological complementarity of the community members [9].

Plant phylogenetic diversity has been shown to enhance plant productivity owing to the more efficient usage of the overall resource as a result of functional complementarity [10]. Furthermore, increasing levels of plant phylogenetic diversity lead to higher soil microbial productivity and efficiency in decomposing organic resources [11]. This link between plant phylogenetic diversity and microbial productivity can theoretically proceed through one of the two described mechanisms assembling productive microbial communities, either mediated through niche or fitness differences (figure 1). Coexistence patterns mediated by niche differences can arise if more phylodiverse plant communities releasing a wider range of oxidizable compounds provide more niches for microbes to exploit, thus increasing microbial phylogenetic diversity (solid arrow in figure 1a). This occurs when competitive exclusion has purged taxa that are too functionally similar (see [12] for details). The first mechanism would generate a positive relationship between plant and soil microbial phylodiversity congruent with the diversity patterns observed at the species-level [3,4]. On the contrary, coexistence patterns mediated by fitness differences can arise if increased organic inputs at high plant phylodiversity levels are more efficiently exploited by certain
complementary microbes due to their niche differences or on the competitive dominance of a few clades through fitness differences, which are comparatively more productive. The results are opposing patterns between plant and microbial phylogenetic diversity, and negative correlations between microbial diversity and productivity.

competitive clades with high relative fitness (see ref.Chesson [13]; solid arrow in figure 1b). The competitive exclusion of distinctly related lineages based on relative fitness differences can intensify the relatedness of co-occurring microbes, and hence reduce microbial phylogenetic diversity [12,14]. This second mechanism would therefore generate an inverse relationship between plant and soil microbial phylogenetic diversity. Both mechanisms—either based on the coexistence of complementary microbes due to their niche differences or on the competitive dominance of a few clades through fitness differences—can be coherent with the direct connection observed between plant phylogenetic diversity and microbial productivity [11]. A community of functionally complementary organisms can be more productive by consuming more of the total available resources (dashed arrow in figure 1a), while dominant competitors can produce more per unit resource than others (dashed arrow in figure 1b) [15]. Available evidence from the bacterial domain suggests that complementarity effects are relatively unimportant due to the functional redundancy of natural soil bacterial communities [16]. Fitness differences, instead, have been posed to operate in soil bacterial communities driven by the superior competitive ability of Proteobacteria, a bacterial phylum that can outcompete deeply branching clades particularly under increased soil fertility [17,18].

Facilitation-driven ecosystems provide a suitable setting to test the contribution of the different facets of diversity to the influence of plant communities on soil microbial communities. In water-limited environments, plant–plant facilitation typically structures plant communities in multispecific patches surrounded by low-cover areas [19]. Nurse plants able to colonize barren soils modify the microenvironment and allow the establishment of other less stress-tolerant species [20]. In plant patches shaped by facilitation, coexistence is maximized under phylogenetically diverse neighbourhoods, thus increasing the levels of plant phylogenetic diversity [21,22]. This responds to the tendency of phylogenetically distant organisms to be functionally distinct [7], a feature that allows their coexistence and helps diminishing environmental stress [11]. Because facilitation increases plant phylogenetic diversity, facilitation assembled plant patches—including the nurse and its beneficiaries—naturally configure plant phylogenetic diversity gradients [11,21]. Here, we explored the diversity patterns of plants and soil bacteria in a water-limited facilitation-driven ecosystem to specifically test whether plant phylogenetic diversity increases the evolutionary relatedness of coexisting bacteria, thus ultimately reducing soil bacterial phylogenetic diversity, through promoting soil fertility [17,18].

Figure 1. Theoretical mechanisms linking plant and soil microbial phylogenetic diversity, and microbial productivity. (+) and (−) indicate positive and negative correlations, respectively. (a) Plant phylogenetic diversity promotes soil microbial phylogenetic diversity through increasing available niches, thus fostering microbial productivity through the more efficient usage of the total resources by a community of complementary microbes. The results are parallel patterns between plant and microbial phylogenetic diversity, and positive correlations between microbial diversity and productivity. (b) Plant phylogenetic diversity reduces soil microbial phylogenetic diversity through the promotion of closely related strong competitors with high relative fitness, which are comparatively more productive. The results are opposing patterns between plant and microbial phylogenetic diversity, and negative correlations between microbial diversity and productivity.

2. Material and methods

(a) Study system

We selected a facilitation-driven Mediterranean ecosystem in southeastern Spain (UTM 30N 689062, 4238201) with a mean annual rainfall of 240 mm and average temperature of 20°C. The vegetation is a shrubland dominated by the legume Ononis tridentata, which acts as a nurse plant that facilitates dwarf shrubs (Helianthemum spriacum, Helichrysum stoechas), grasses (Stipa affrerti, Brachypodium retusum) and herbs (Linum strictum) [11]. The nurse and its beneficiary species form multi-specific plant patches that range from 1 to 5 m² (hereafter ‘patches’) and are surrounded by a low-cover matrix (hereafter ‘gaps’). Soils are Typic Xerorthents developed on gypsum, which are young soils with properties poorly differentiated from their parent material [23].

(b) Plant and soil bacterial diversity

On May 2010, we selected 15 plant patches, defined as groups of plants growing below the canopy of an O. tridentata individual, and gaps, defined as the open space adjacent to each patch. Patches and adjacent gaps had the same geometric shape and area. We registered plant abundance within each patch and gap by using the point contact method (see [11] for details and data).

We collected topspils (0–2 cm) because the effect of plant debris on soils was restricted to the uppermost layer (field observation), probably because in this stressful ecosystem plants face water limitation, high summer temperatures and gypsum toxicity [24]. Five soil sub-samples (around 100 g) were collected randomly from the area of each patch or gap, and then bulked into a single composite sample thus making a total of 30 samples. We transported the samples to the laboratory on ice, sieved them (less than 1 mm) and stored them at 4°C. We extracted the soil DNA, pyrosequenced the bacterial 16S rRNA gene and, after removing low-quality sequences, artefacts and singletons, obtained the abundance of 3290 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in each patch and gap. The relative abundance of each OTU in each sample was calculated based on the total number of sequences in the same sample, and subsequently corrected by the number of 16S rRNA gene copies (see ref. [25] for details and data). We discarded two samples which failed to amplify. We also quantified several physical and chemical variables related to soil fertility: total organic C (TOC), total nitrogen (TN), water-soluble C (WSC), water-soluble carbohydrates (CH), carbonates (CaCO3) and gravimetric humidity (GH) using standard procedures [11] (table 1).
We computed several metrics of plant and soil bacterial diversity: (i) richness, measured as the number of species and OTUs, respectively and (ii) two phylogeny-informed metrics of diversity, i.e. the standardized mean phylogenetic distance (std MPD) between all pairs of species or OTUs, and the standardized mean nearest taxon distance (std MNTD) of each species or OTU to its nearest neighbour in the phylogenetic tree. Both std MPD and MNTD were weighted by taxon abundance and calculated using the *picante* package for R [26, 27]. Standardized MPD and MNTD indicate whether co-occurring taxa are more (negative values) or less (positive values) phylogenetically related than expected by chance. While MPD informs on deep level relatedness, MNTD inspects terminal relationships [9]. Prior to calculating MPDs and MNTDs, we reconstructed phylogenies for plants and bacteria as in [11, 25], respectively. The topology of the plant phylogeny was obtained with Phylomatic in Phylocom [28] by using the backbone phylogeny of APG [29]. We simultaneously resolved polytomies and adjusted branch lengths with the help of BEAST and the PolytomyResolver script, which set the chronological and topological constraints as well as the specifications of a birth–death tree prior [30, 31]. We ran Markov chain Monte Carlo analyses for 10^6 iterations, sampling trees every 10^3 iterations, discarded a 25% burn-in, and randomly selected 100 fully resolved dated trees. These 100 trees were used in subsequent analysis to account for the topological and chronological uncertainty associated with phylogeny reconstruction. Three independent bacterial phylogenetic trees were performed after removing hypervariable regions with RAxML 7.3.0 using the GTRGAMMA substitution model [32]. In order to reduce the uncertainty of phylogenetic reconstruction using short reads, we constrained the basal relationships according to the topology of the Silva mega-tree [33].

To discard sampling effects confounding the relationship between plant and bacterial diversity patterns, e.g. plants in low-diversity plots might happen to associate with a set of more closely related bacteria, we performed a nestedness test on the plant × patch/gap presence–absence matrix. Binmatnest nestedness algorithm was calculated under null model 3 with the help of the *bipartite* package for R [34, 35]. Significant nestedness temperature indicated that species-poor patches were more related than expected by chance. While MPD informs on deep level relatedness, MNTD inspects terminal relationships [9]. We used the first PC as a proxy of soil fertility as explained below.

### 3. Results

Plant and soil bacterial communities showed parallel patterns of species richness (figure 2a), but opposing patterns of phylogenetic diversity (standardized MPD). Bubble radius is proportional to the relative abundance of Proteobacteria in each plot.

### (c) Structural equation modelling

We used structural equation modelling (SEM) to test the direct and indirect (i.e. mediated by soil fertility) effects of plant diversity on soil bacterial diversity, using either richness or phylogenetic diversity with the *sem* package for R [36]. Plant and soil bacterial richness were defined as the number of species and OTUs, respectively. Plant and soil bacterial phylogenetic diversity were defined as the average std MPD (or MNTD) between pairs of plant species in 100 phylogenetic trees or between pairs of bacterial OTUs in 100 phylogenetic trees or between pairs of bacterial OTUs in
fertility (PC1), but this reduced soil bacterial phylogenetic diversity in contrast to bacterial richness (figure 3b). Both SEMs explained α 45% of the variation in soil fertility. While the first model accounted for 50% of the variation in bacterial richness, the second model explained 68% of the variation in bacterial std MPD. We did not find significant direct effects of plant diversity on soil bacterial diversity, either using richness or std MPD measures (figure 3a,b). When we removed this direct relationship between plant and bacterial diversity, the models showed a good fit to our data, as suggested by the non-significant χ²-tests both using richness (\(N = 28, \chi^2 = 0.00032, \text{d.f.} = 1, p > 0.9\)) and std MPDs (\(N = 28, \chi^2 = 2.56, \text{d.f.} = 1, p > 0.1\)). Several indices—goodness-of-fit index (GFI), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), comparative fit index (CFI) and standardized root mean square residual (SRMR)—suggested a reasonable fit of both models (richness: GFI = 0.99, RMSEA = 0.0, CFI = 1.0, SRMR = 0.00074; std MPDs: GFI = 0.94, RMSEA = 0.24, CFI = 0.96, SRMR = 0.05) [37].

High levels of plant richness and phylogenetic diversity (std MPD) correlated with high relative abundances of Proteobacteria (\(r = 0.68\) and \(r = 0.64, p < 0.001\); bubble size in figure 2), a bacterial phyllum that was overrepresented at high soil fertility (\(r = 0.83, p < 0.001\)). In addition, the promotion of Proteobacteria was associated with soil bacterial communities featured by high richness (\(r = 0.63, p < 0.001\)) and reduced phylogenetic diversity (std MPD: \(r = -0.62, p < 0.001\)) (bubble size in figure 2). Results obtained with std MNTD were equivalent in all cases to those obtained with std MPD (electronic supplementary material, S2).

4. Discussion

During the last decade, evidence has accumulated to show that incorporating evolutionary relationships among community members helps to shed light on the underlying ecological processes of the diversity patterns. Here, we show that the repeatedly described parallel patterns of plant and soil microbial diversity may associate with opposing patterns of phylogenetic diversity. We argue these results in the framework of the modern co-existence theory [12–14].

In our facilitation-driven ecosystem, plots with richer plant communities were more phylogenetically diverse, that is, they were composed of more distantly related plant species. Facilitative plant–plant interactions increase the phylogenetic diversity of plant assemblies by promoting the coexistence of phylogenetically and functionally distant beneficiary species [21,22]. The contribution of facilitation to the phylogenetic diversity of plant communities has been thoroughly reviewed elsewhere [38] and previously described for this particular ecosystem [11]. We rather used this scenario as an ideal system to explore the diversity patterns of plant and soil microbial communities since scattered vegetation patches shape natural gradients of plant phylogenetic diversity, as we found to be the case in this study. It has been previously shown that the amount of phylogenetic diversity in plant communities significantly explains their productivity in terms of biomass [10]. This has been discussed as the result of complementarity effects since a phylogenetically diverse community is composed of functionally distinct members, which can be expected to better exploit the total available resources [10,15]. We observed that plant diversity significantly increased soil fertility, which suggests that diverse plant assemblies were more productive in terms of biomass production and organic matter inputs into the soil [11,24]. In this study, both plant richness and phylogenetic diversity significantly accounted for soil fertility measured as a combination of variables related to the amount of oxidizable substances, nutrients and moisture. Our results add up to the evidence showing that plant diversity helps maintain ecosystem functions, in our case, soil productivity [39].

The amelioration of soil fertility generated richer albeit less phylodiverse soil bacterial communities. That is to say,
bacterial communities in more productive soils were composed of more species which shared closer phylogenetic relationships. This observation is congruent with the theoretical mechanism depicted in figure 1b, by which increased organic inputs at high levels of plant phylogenetic diversity might be more efficiently exploited by a few competitive bacterial clades with high relative fitness [12,13]. The close evolutionary relationship among strong bacterial competitors ends up reducing the phylogenetic diversity of the soil bacterial community through the exclusion of distantly related lineages [14]. In our study case, the opposing phylogenetic diversity gradients of plant and soil bacterial communities were mediated by high abundances of Proteobacteria, which occurred in fertile soils underneath phylogenetically diverse plant communities and generated phylogenetically poor bacterial communities. This was not unexpected as many Proteobacteria show high relative fitness under carbon-enriched conditions, and competitively exclude distantly related bacterial lineages both in the laboratory and in nature [17,18,25]. This phylum includes many taxa that can rapidly grow in response to pulses of both chemically labile and recalcitrant carbon compounds, an ability that makes them strong competitors in the typically carbon-limited soil environment [17]. Eventually, the dominance of phylogenetically clustered competitors that produce more per unit resource than others can explain that bacterial communities with low phylogenetic diversity are highly productive in terms of biomass production, decomposition rates or nutrient cycling [40,41]. This can generate the paradoxical situation that microbially driven ecosystem functions show a positive relationship with plant phylodiversity [11], but a negative relationship with soil bacterial phylodiversity [41].

Scenarios similar to that described in this study require that (i) the actual diversity of plant communities is responsible for the increase in soil fertility and (ii) heterotrophic bacterial communities become dominated by one (or a few) deeply branching bacterial lineage at high fertility levels, as happens for instance with Proteobacteria or Actinobacteria [17]. Although our results are based on a single phylogenetic diversity gradient, both aforementioned conditions are widespread (e.g. [18,42]). Still, other scenarios are also possible as the effect of plant communities on soils and soil microbes can be context-dependent [1,2,5]. As an illustration, the presence of clonal plants can reduce species diversity [43] while contributing large amounts of organic carbon into the soil via the intensive spread of their vegetative structures. In the opposite extreme, increments in diversity in Cactaceae-dominated communities can lead to insignificant changes in soil fertility due to an extremely limited supply of organic matter [44]. Diversity patterns different to those described here could be also present in ecosystems dominated by legacy effects, i.e. abiotic or biotic changes that persist once the species that caused them disappeared [45], which have been shown to operate both in plant and soil bacterial communities [2,46]. Beyond the universality of the diversity patterns here described, our findings illustrate how incorporating the evolutionary history to the metrics of diversity can elucidate community assembly processes. Our observations, together with previous research [9,10,47], underline the need to cover the multiple facets of biodiversity when addressing the structure of ecological communities and the functioning of ecosystems.

5. Conclusion

Our results are consistent with the assumption that changes in soil fertility, in this case controlled by the plant communities, determine the biotic interactions among bacteria particularly by promoting the dominance of a whole lineage with high relative fitness under carbon-enriched conditions. This process drastically increases the relatedness of coexisting bacteria, and hence leads to opposing patterns of plant and soil bacterial phylogenetic diversity. These results are in line with the phylogenetic coexistence patterns mediated by relative fitness differences as developed in the modern coexistence theory [12], and should prompt future research to understand the biotic mechanisms that tangle the intricate linkages among trophic levels.
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