Anisotropic conductance oscillations and magnetotransport in Pb films on Si(557)

H. Pfnür, D. Lükermann, M. Czubanowski, C. Tegenkamp

Institut für Festkörperphysik Atomare und Molekulare Strukturen Leibniz Universität Hannover Germany



Pb/Si(557)

Motivation

- Correlations between structure, electronic properties
 and electric transport
- Role of surfaces and interfaces
- Instabilities: charge and spin density waves, Peierls phase transitions
- Quantum confinement vs. "classical" size effects
- Role of defects (steps, point defects etc.)

→Elastic, inelastic, spin-orbit scattering

Pb/Si(557): mono- and multilayer growth



Pb/Si(111): basic growth modes



A. Petkova, J. Wollschläger, H.-L. Günter, M. Henzler, Surf. Sci. 482-485, 922 (2001)

Conductance of Pb films on Si(111) (7 x 7)



Clean Si(557) surface

Hannover



Pb multilayer growth on Si(557): LEED

- Growth at 70 K: (557)-step structure remains as well as (7x7)
- isotropic layer already at 3 ML
- Crystalline growth from the first monolayer
- Nanocrystalline film
- Mismatch of 8.8% compensated by steps within the first few layers

clean



3ML

6ML

Pb multilayer growth on Si(557): conductance



Continuous adsorption 70 K

(a)

Percolation threshold close to 0.5 ML

Layer-by-layer growth starting with ' the first monolayer

Conductance (mS)

Parallel to steps: power law $G_{\parallel} \sim d^x$ x ≈ 3 up to 5 ML, x ≈ 2 up to 10 ML

Perpendicular to steps: G_{\perp} ~ d

Characteristic oscillations with Monolayer period in both directions

Increment in G isotropic above 7 ML







Classical size effects

 From photoemission + magnetoconductance: mean free path λ ≈ 5nm >> d in all cases investigated

→ Interface scattering important
 G_{||}:
 Diffuse scattering at interface: σ ~ d^{2.1}
 Correction by increase of Fermi surface, i.e. DOS with d: σ ~ d¹
 → G_{||}~ d² correct for d > 5ML

Small d \rightarrow small number of subbands \rightarrow x>2 (Calecki et al.)

Overall behavior of G_{\parallel} explainable by diffuse inferface scattering (without oscillations)

Quantum size effect: appearance of new subbands

- Responsible for oscillations
- Onset of plateaus by appearance of new subbands
- Non-monotonous variation of amplitudes and halfwidths
- Peaks in G_{||} appear before completion of layers
 - ➔,,chemical" quantum effect





Pb-Band structure from DFT

3ML







Calculations with free-standing Pb films

Fermi surface



Quantum size effect: appearance of new subbands

- Responsible for oscillations
- Onset of plateaus by appearance of new subbands
- Non-monotonous variation of amplitudes and halfwidths
- Peaks in G_{||} appear before completion of layers

→ "chemical" quantum effect







High T-annealed first monolayer: (112) 37.5% SBZ re-facetting of Si(557)



Model (1.20 < Θ < 1.33): •Steps stabilized by Pb Θ = 1.2 ML: (112) facets •Local Θ almost constant at all coverages but: •Steps not covered by Pb



One (1.3) ML of Pb on Si(557):

Quasi-1D conductance



 $\begin{array}{l} T>T_{C}: \mbox{ Anisotropic 2D behavior } \\ T<T_{C}: \\ \sigma_{\parallel} \mbox{ metallic / delocalization } \end{array}$

$\sigma_{\perp}^{"}$ insulating

Reversible !

Peierls transition only in direction

normal to steps

ideal 1d conductor





Tegenkamp et.al. PRL 95, 176804 (2005)

Nesting and transport:



Magnetoconductance: Weak localization



Medium with (mainly elastically) scattering defects for electrons close to E_F

If $\Theta = 0^{\circ}$: constructive interference due to time reversal symmetry for paths A and B

→Enhanced resistance
B-field destroys time reversal symmetry → positive magnetoconductance

If contribution of spin orbit scattering is dominant: (4π -symmetry of spin wave functions!) Weak anti-localization

Electronic transport beyond the Drude model

Manipulation of Weak Localization by B-fields

- Phase coherence at the origin is disturbed by external magnetic fields
- Phase shift of the partial waves $\Delta \varphi = \frac{2e}{\hbar} \Phi$
- \rightarrow "*Hikami-theory*¹" of weak localization:
 - Conductance depends on magnetic field B
 - ΔG depends implicitly on 3 scattering times

$ au_0$:	elastic scattering time
$ au_i$:	inelastic scattering time
$ au_{so}$:	spin-orbit scattering time



 $\Delta G(B) = G(B) - G(B = 0) = -G_{00} \cdot [f_1(B, \tau_0, \tau_{so}) + f_2(B, \tau_0, \tau_i, \tau_{so}) + f_3(B, \tau_0, \tau_i)]$

$$G_{00} = \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2\hbar} = 0,123 \cdot 10^{-4}S$$

Magnetoconductance - Pb films vs. monolayers



Conductance change ΔG vs. magnetic field B:

- multilayers -> Weak Localization
- spin-orbit and elastic scattering strong for monolayers
- band structure/Fermi surface is different to Pb films

Monolayers: anisotropic magnetotransport

• anisotropy of Pb/Si(557) surface is reflected in magnetotransport of monolayers "-omi theory fits the data accurately -0.02 -0.02 -0.02 -0.02



• elastic scattering time is shorter perpendicular to the steps

 \rightarrow steps act as scatterers



Suppressed spin-orbit scattering

10⁻¹¹

10⁻¹²



• peculiar behavior for 1.3 ML: ● WL in parallel ↔ WAL in perp. direction



 $\tau_{SO}(s)$ 10⁻¹³ 10⁻¹⁴ SO 1.2 1.3 1.5 1.4 Coverage Θ (ML)

• reduced spin-orbit-scattering in parallel

 $\tau_{so \parallel}$

• maximum @ 1.3 ML, 3 orders of magnitude

 \rightarrow reason for metallic conductivity in 1D-regime?

D. Lükermann et al.. Phys. Rev. B 81 (2010)



Tentative explanation:

If bands marked by green dots are spin polarized: Spin Umklapp forbidden

Strictly fulfilled only at 1.3 ML

Outside this coverage:

- Other periodicities along the terraces
- Thermal activation to other bands

Summary

Dc-conductance:

- Steps very effective for strain accomodation
- Conductance measurements very sensitive to anisotropic layer distortions
- Classical
- Quantum size effects for very thin layers

Magnetotransport of Pb/Si(557)

- Hikami-theory describes the data very well
- WL for Pb films, WAL for Pb monolayers
- anisotropy of surface visible in conductance and deduced scattering times
- peculiar behavior of spin-orbit scattering time around 1.3 ML (0.85 PML)

\rightarrow spin-orbit scattering strongly suppressed

→ possibly due to **spin polarized** split-off **bands**



