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#### Abstract

We find the atomic decomposition of functions in the weighted Besov spaces under certain factorization conditions on the weight.


## Introduction.

After achieving the atomic decomposition of Hardy spaces (see [8,22, 33]), many of the function saces have been shown to admit similar decompositions. Let us mention the decomposition of B.M.O. (see [32, 25]), Bergman spaces (see [9, 23]), the predual of Bloch space (see [11]), Besov spaces (see [15, 4, 10]), Lipschitz spaces (see [18]), Triebel-Lizorkin spaces (see [16, 31]),...

They are obtained by quite different methods, but there is a unified and beautiful approach to get the decomposition for most of the spaces. This is the use of a formula due to A.P. Calderón (see $[6,7]$ ). The reader is referred to the book by M. Frazier, B. Jawerth and G. Weiss [18] for a collection of spaces where the Calderón's formula produces the atomic decomposition and applications of it.

Atomic decompositions of weighted versions of different spaces have been also considered in the literature (see [27] for weighted Hardy spaces, [5] for Lipschitz spaces,...).

In this paper we shall be concerned with weighted Besov spaces $B_{\phi, w}^{p, q}$. We shall find some conditions on the weights to have atomic decomposition on the spaces.

We refer the reader to $[19,29,18]$ for general notions and applications of atomic decomposition and to $[1,24,30]$ for different formulations and properties of Besov classes.

The classes of weights where the results are achieved consist of those which factorize through powers of Dini and $b_{1}$ weights. Our arguments for the cases $1<p, q<\infty$ will be based upon two main points: Calderón's formula and a quite simple Schur Lemma. To obtain the other extreme cases $p, q \in\{1, \infty\}$ we need some new results on the classes of weights which enable us to apply the same procedure as in the previous cases. The reader should be aware that the case $1<q<\infty$ could have been shown by interpolation with the extreme cases, but a direct proof is presented in the paper.

[^0]Throughout the paper a weight $w: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$will be a measurable function $w>0$ a.e., $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}$ stand for the conjugate exponents. $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the Schwartz class of test functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ the space of tempered distributions, $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ the set of functions in $\mathcal{S}$ with mean zero and $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}{ }_{0}$ its topological dual. We denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the collection of dyadic cubes $Q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, i.e. $Q=Q_{j, z}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: 2^{j} z_{i} \leq x_{i} \leq\right.$ $\left.2^{j}\left(z_{i}+1\right)\right\}$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. As usual $|Q|, l(Q)$ stand for the volume and the side length of the cube $Q$ respectively. We shall write $T(Q)$ for $Q \times\left(\frac{l(Q)}{2}, l(Q)\right] \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ and $c Q$ for a cube with the same center as $Q$ but with side length equal $\operatorname{cl}(Q)$.

Given a weight $w, \phi \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ we shall denote by $B_{w, \phi}^{p, q}$ the space functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \frac{d x}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{p, q}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \frac{\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p}^{q}}{w(t)^{q}} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}<\infty \quad(1 \leq q<\infty)
$$

or

$$
\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{p, \infty}}=\inf \left\{C>0:\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p} \leq C w(t) \quad \text { a.e.t }>0\right\}<\infty \quad(q=\infty)
$$

where $\phi_{t}(x)=\frac{1}{t^{n}} \phi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$.
To state the results of the paper, let us first recall the following notions.
A weight $w$ is said to satisfy Dini condition if there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{s} \frac{w(t)}{t} d t \leq C w(s) \text { a.e. } s>0
$$

A weight $w$ is said to be a $b_{1}$-weight if there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{w(t)}{t^{2}} d t \leq C \frac{w(s)}{s} \quad \text { a.e. } s>0
$$

We shall denote by $\mathcal{W}_{0,1}$ the space of $b_{1}$-weights which satisfy Dini condition.
Let us also use the notation $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ for the class of functions $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$ such that
(a) $\int_{0}^{\infty}(\hat{\phi}(t \chi))^{2} \frac{d t}{t}=1,(\chi \neq 0)$
(b) $\phi$ radial and real,
(c) $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subseteq\{|x| \leq 1\}$ and
(d) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x_{i} \phi(x) d x=0,(i=1, \ldots, n)$.

We refer the reader to Section 1 for the notion of $(A, p)$-atom and the unexplained notations. The aim of the paper is to prove the following theorem.
Main Theorem. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $w$ be a weight that can be factored as $w(t)=\lambda^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}(t) \mu^{\frac{-1}{q}}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{W}_{0,1}$. Then if $w_{Q}=\left(\int_{l(Q) / 2}^{l(Q)} w(t)^{q^{\prime}} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}$ we have $f \in B_{w, \phi}^{p, q}$ if and only if there exist $A>0,\left\{s_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ and $(A, p)$-atoms $\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ such that $f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}\left(\right.$ convergence in $\left.\mathcal{S}^{\prime}{ }_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\frac{s_{Q}}{w_{Q}}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}<\infty
$$

Moreover

$$
\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{p, q}} \approx \inf \left\{\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\frac{s_{Q}}{w_{Q}}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}: f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}\right\}
$$

(with the obvious modifications for $p$ and $q$ equal $\infty$ ).
This can be understood as a generalization of the cases proved in [15, 4, 10] corresponding to $w(t)=t^{\alpha}$.

The paper is divided into three sections. Section 1 has a preliminary character and it is devoted to introduce the notation and the main Lemmas to be used later on. In Section 2 we get the atomic decomposition for the spaces in the case $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $1<q<\infty$ and we postpone the remaining cases to the last section.

## §1. Preliminaries and Basic Lemmas.

Let us recall some notions on weights we shall need later.
Definition 1.1. Let $\varepsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w$ be a weight. $w$ is said to be a $d_{\varepsilon}$-weight if there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{s} t^{\varepsilon} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \leq C s^{\varepsilon} w(s) \text { a.e. } s>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ is said to be a $b_{\delta}$-weight if there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{w(t)}{t^{\delta}} \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \frac{w(s)}{s^{\delta}} \quad \text { a.e. } s>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(d_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\right.$ respect. $\left.\left(b_{\delta}\right)\right)$ denotes de class of $d_{\varepsilon}$-weights (respect. $b_{\delta}$-weights) we write

$$
\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, \delta}=\left(d_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap\left(b_{\delta}\right)
$$

Remark 1.1. The main examples of such weights are given by

$$
w_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=t^{\alpha}(1+|\log t|)^{\beta} .
$$

It is left to the reader to show that $w_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ for any $\delta>\alpha$ and $\varepsilon>-\alpha$.
Let us collect some elementary properties to be used in the sequel.

$$
\begin{gather*}
w \in\left(d_{\varepsilon}\right) \Rightarrow w \in\left(d_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right) \text { for any } \varepsilon^{\prime}>\varepsilon  \tag{1.3}\\
\text { Let } \bar{w}(t)=w\left(t^{-1}\right) \text { then } w \in\left(b_{\varepsilon}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \bar{w} \in\left(d_{\varepsilon}\right) .  \tag{1.4}\\
\qquad w \in \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, \delta} \Rightarrow w(t) \geq C \min \left(t^{-\varepsilon}, t^{\delta}\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The next properties on weights belonging to $\mathcal{W}_{0,1}$ are needed for some results later on.

Lemma 1.1. Let $\varepsilon \geq 0, \delta \geq 0$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{s} t^{\varepsilon} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \inf _{s / 2 \leq u<\infty} u^{\varepsilon} w(u)  \tag{1.6}\\
\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{w(t)}{t^{\delta}} \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \inf _{0<u \leq 2 s} \frac{w(u)}{u^{\delta}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. From (1.4) it is enough to prove (1.6). Let $u \geq s$. From (1.1)

$$
\int_{0}^{s} t^{\varepsilon} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \int_{0}^{u} t^{\varepsilon} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \leq C u^{\varepsilon} w(u)
$$

If we integrate this inequality in $[s, 2 s]$ against the weight $\frac{1}{u^{1+\varepsilon+\delta}}$

$$
\int_{0}^{s} t^{\varepsilon} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \int_{s}^{2 s} \frac{d u}{u^{1+\varepsilon+\delta}} \leq C \int_{s}^{2 s} w(u) \frac{d u}{u^{1+\delta}} \leq C \int_{s}^{\infty} w(u) \frac{d u}{u^{1+\delta}}
$$

Hence, if $s / 2 \leq v \leq s$,

$$
C^{\prime} \frac{1}{s^{\varepsilon+\delta}} \int_{0}^{s} t^{\varepsilon} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \int_{v}^{\infty} w(u) \frac{d u}{u^{1+\delta}} \leq C \frac{w(v)}{v^{\delta}}
$$

and, finally,

$$
\int_{0}^{s} t^{\varepsilon} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \leq \frac{C}{C^{\prime}} w(v) \frac{s^{\varepsilon+\delta}}{v^{\delta}} \leq \frac{2^{\varepsilon+\delta} C}{C^{\prime}} w(v) v^{\varepsilon}
$$

Corollary 1.1. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_{0,1}$. Then for any $s>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \min \left(\frac{s}{t}, 1\right) w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \inf _{s / 2 \leq u<s} w(u) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next result was pointed out to the authors by F. Ruiz and J. Bastero, who showed us the proof we present here.
Lemma 1.2. Let $w \in\left(d_{\varepsilon}\right)$ (respectively $w \in\left(b_{\delta}\right)$ ). Then there exists $\rho>0$ such that $w \in\left(d_{\varepsilon-\rho}\right)$ (respectively $w \in\left(b_{\delta-\rho}\right)$ ).

Proof. From (1.4) it is enough to consider $w \in\left(d_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Write $\lambda(t)=t^{\varepsilon} w(t)$. Clearly $\lambda \in\left(d_{0}\right)$. Let us define the operator

$$
H(\lambda)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\lambda(s)}{s} d s \quad(t>0)
$$

Since $H(\lambda) \leq C \lambda$ then $H^{n}(\lambda) \leq C^{n} \lambda$.

Applying Fubini's theorem and an easy induction one gets

$$
H^{n}(\lambda)(t)=\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\lambda(s)}{s} \log ^{n-1}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) d s
$$

Take $\rho>0$ such that $\rho C<1$

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^{n-1} H^{n}(\lambda) \leq \frac{C}{1-\rho C} \lambda
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\lambda(s)}{s}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\rho} d s \leq \frac{C}{1-\rho C} \lambda(t)
$$

Finally this gives

$$
\int_{0}^{t} s^{\varepsilon-\rho} w(s) \frac{d s}{s} \leq \frac{C}{1-\rho C} t^{\varepsilon-\rho} w(t)
$$

Definition 1.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $A>0$. A differentiable funtion $a_{Q}$ is called an $(A, p)$-smooth atom if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { supp } a_{Q} \subseteq 3 Q \text { for some } Q \in \mathcal{D}  \tag{1.8}\\
\int a_{Q}(x) d x=\int x_{i} a_{Q}(x) d x=0 \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n)  \tag{1.9}\\
\left|a_{Q}(x)\right| \leq \frac{A}{l(Q)^{n / p}}, \quad\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} a_{Q}(x)\right| \leq \frac{A}{l(Q)^{n / p+1}} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n) . \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us now establish one of the main lemmas to be used later on. This result is closely related with Calderón reproducing formula, and gives a procedure to decompose functions in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \frac{d x}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}}\right)$.
Lemma A. (see $[6,18])$. Let $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \frac{d x}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}}\right)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$. Given $1 \leq p \leq$ $\infty, Q \in \mathcal{D}$, define

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{Q}(f)=|Q|^{\frac{-1}{p^{\prime}}} \iint_{T(Q)}\left|\phi_{t} * f(y)\right| d y \frac{d t}{t} \\
a_{Q}(f)(x)=\frac{1}{s_{Q}(f)} \iint_{T(Q)} \phi_{t}(x-y) \phi_{t} * f(y) d y \frac{d t}{t} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $a_{Q}(f)$ are $(A, p)$-smooth atoms for $A=2^{n+1} \max \left\{|\phi(x)|,\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \phi(x)\right| i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, n\}$ and

$$
f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q}(f) a_{Q}(f)=\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=-M}^{N} \sum_{l(Q)=2^{k}} s_{Q}(f) a_{Q}(f) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}
$$

Lemma 1.3. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty, \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \frac{d x}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}}\right)$. If we write

$$
s_{Q}(f)=|Q|^{-1 / p^{\prime}} \iint_{T(Q)}\left|\phi_{t} * f(y)\right| d y \frac{d t}{t}
$$

then for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|s_{Q}(f)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}}\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p} \frac{d t}{t} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume $p<\infty$ (the case $p=\infty$ is similar)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|s_{Q}(f)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & =\sup _{\sum \beta_{Q}^{p^{\prime}}=1}\left|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \beta_{Q} s_{Q}(f)\right| \\
& =\sup _{\sum \beta_{Q}^{p^{\prime}}=1}\left|\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \beta_{Q}|Q|^{-1 / p^{\prime}} \chi_{Q}\right)\right| \phi_{t} * f(y)\left|d y \frac{d t}{t}\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{\sum \beta_{Q}^{p^{\prime}}=1} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}}\left\|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \beta_{Q}|Q|^{-1 / p^{\prime}} \chi_{Q}\right\|_{p^{\prime}}\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}}\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p} \frac{d t}{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.4. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty, A>0,\left\{\alpha_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and $\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ verifying (1.8) and (1.10) for $A$ and $p$. Then for $t>0$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \alpha_{Q} a_{Q}\right\|_{p} \leq C\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \cdot  \tag{1.12}\\
\left\|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \alpha_{Q}\left(\phi_{t} * a_{Q}\right)\right\|_{p} \leq C \min \left(\frac{t}{2^{j}}, 1\right)\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} . \tag{1.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof.
First observe that $\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} s_{Q} a_{Q}$ has only a finite number of non-zero terms since there are a finite number of overlapping cubes of the form $\{3 Q\}$. Hence

$$
\left\|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \alpha_{Q} a_{Q}\right\|_{p} \leq A\left\|\sum _ { l ( Q ) = 2 ^ { j } } \left|\alpha_{Q}\left\|\left.Q\right|^{-1 / p} \chi_{3 Q}\right\|_{p} \leq C\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right.\right.
$$

Use the previous estimate (1.12) and Young's inequality to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \alpha_{Q}\left(\phi_{t} * a_{Q}\right)\right\|_{p} & \leq\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{1}\left\|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \alpha_{Q} a_{Q}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq\|\phi\|_{1}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, assume $l(Q)=2^{j}$ and $t<2^{j}$. Note that $x \notin 5 Q$ and $y \in 3 Q$ implies $\left|\frac{x-y}{t}\right|>1$ and so $\phi\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) a_{Q}(y)=0$. Hence $\operatorname{supp} \phi_{t} * a_{Q} \subseteq 5 Q$. Moreover

$$
\left|a_{Q}(y)-a_{Q}(x)\right| \leq C \sup _{\xi \in[x, y]}\left|\nabla a_{Q}(\xi)\right||x-y| \leq \frac{C A|x-y|}{l(Q)^{\frac{n}{p}+1}}
$$

Therefore, using that $\int \phi_{t}=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi_{t} * a_{Q}(x)\right| & =\left|\int \phi_{t}(x-y)\left(a_{Q}(y)-a_{Q}(x)\right) d y\right| \\
& \leq \frac{C A}{l(Q)^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} \int\left|\phi_{t}(x-y)\right||x-y| d y \\
& =C A \frac{t}{l(Q)^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} \int|\phi(z) \| z| d z
\end{aligned}
$$

We have proved that $\left|\phi_{t} * a_{Q}(x)\right| \leq C \frac{t}{l(Q)^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} \chi_{5 Q}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum s_{Q} \phi_{t} * a_{Q}\right\|_{p} & \leq C \frac{t}{2^{j}}\left\|\sum _ { l ( Q ) = 2 ^ { j } } \left|\alpha_{Q}\left\|\left.Q\right|^{-1 / p} \chi_{5 Q}\right\|_{p}\right.\right. \\
& \leq C \frac{t}{2^{j}}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \cdot \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.5. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty,\left\{\alpha_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subseteq \mathbb{C},\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ (A,p)-smooth atoms. There exist $\left\{c_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with $c_{Q} \neq 0$ for finitely many $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ such that we have for $p<\infty$ and $p=\infty$ respectively

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \alpha_{Q}\left(a_{Q}-c_{Q}\right)\right|}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}} d x \leq C \min \left(1,2^{-j}\right)\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{1.14}\\
\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \alpha_{Q}\left(a_{Q}-c_{Q}\right)\right|}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}} d x \leq C \min \left(1, \frac{|j|}{2^{j}}\right)_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
c_{Q}= \begin{cases}a_{Q}(0) & \text { if } l(Q) \geq 1 \text { and } 3 Q \cap B(0, l(Q)) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $j<0$ we have $c_{Q}=0$ and then we simply use the estimate

$$
\left|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \alpha_{Q}\left(a_{Q}(x)-c_{Q}\right)\right| \leq A \sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right||Q|^{\frac{-1}{p}} \chi_{3 Q}(x)
$$

Hölder's inequality gives

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} \alpha_{Q}\left(a_{Q}-c_{Q}\right)\right|}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}} d x \leq C\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

For $j \geq 0$ we argue as follows:
Note that, for fixed $j$, there exist a finite number, independent of $j$, of dyadic cubes of length $2^{j}$ such that $3 Q \cap B(0, l(Q)) \neq \emptyset$. Call such a family $\mathcal{F}_{j}$ and denote by $E_{j}=\cup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}} 3 Q$.

In the same way as in the case $j<0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\sum_{Q \notin \mathcal{F}_{j}} \alpha_{Q}\left(a_{Q}-c_{Q}\right)\right|}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}} d x \\
& \leq\left(\int\left|\sum_{Q \notin \mathcal{F}_{j}} \alpha_{Q} a_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{|x| \geq 2^{j}}(1+|x|)^{-(n+1) p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \quad \leq C 2^{-j(1+n / p)}\left(\sum_{Q \notin \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Para $Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}$ y $x \notin E_{j}$ we use the simple estimate $\left|a_{Q}(x)-C_{Q}\right| \leq 2 A 2^{-j n / p}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E_{j}} \frac{\left|\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}} \alpha_{Q}\left(a_{Q}(x)-c_{Q}\right)\right|}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}} & \leq C 2^{-j n / p} \int_{|x| \geq 2^{j}} \frac{d x}{(1+|x|)^{(n+1)}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right| \\
& \leq C 2^{-j n / p} \int_{2^{j}}^{\infty} t^{-2} d t \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right| \\
& =C 2^{-j(1+n / p)} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right| \\
& \leq C 2^{-j(1+n / p)}\left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we observe that it follows from the mean value theorem and (1.10) that there exists $C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\left|a_{Q}(x)-c_{Q}\right| \leq C^{\prime} \frac{|x|}{l(Q)^{\frac{n}{p}+1}} \quad\left(x \in E_{j}, Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}\right)
$$

It is clear that if $x \in E_{j}$ then there exist $K$, independent of $j$, such that $|x| \leq$ $K 2^{j}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E_{j}} \frac{\left|\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}} \alpha_{Q}\left(a_{Q}-c_{Q}\right)\right|}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}} d x & \leq A 2^{-\frac{j n}{p}-1} \int_{|x| \leq K 2^{j}} \frac{|x|}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}} d x \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right| \\
& \leq A 2^{-\frac{j n}{p}-1}\left(\int_{0}^{K 2^{j}} \frac{t^{n}}{(1+t)^{n+1}} d t\right) \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right| \\
& \leq C 2^{-\frac{j n}{p}-1}\left(1+\log \left(2^{j}\right)\right) \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right| \\
& \leq C 2^{-\frac{j n}{p}-1}|j| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right| . \\
& \leq C 2^{-\frac{j n}{p}-1}|j|\left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left|\alpha_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the previous estimates we have (1.14) and (1.14')
Observe that a net $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ converges to $\phi$ in $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}$ if there exist $\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi_{i}-c_{i}$ converges to $\phi$ in $S^{\prime}$. Using this it is elementary to show the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6. Let $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be measurable functions defined in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that there exist $\left\{c_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ real numbers with

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|f_{j}-c_{j}\right|}{1+|x|^{n+1}} d x<\infty
$$

Then $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{j}$ converge in $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}$ to some function $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \frac{d x}{1+|x|^{n+1}}\right)$.
§2. Atomic Decompositions for $B_{\phi, w}^{p, q}$ FOR $1 \leq p<\infty$ AND $1<q<\infty$.
Let us first state a version of Schur Lemma that will be useful for our purposes and whose proof follows easily from Holder's inequality.

Lemma B. Let $1<q<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$. Let $\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$ be two $\sigma$-finite measure spaces and let $K: \Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a measurable function and write $T_{K}(f)$ for

$$
T_{K}(f)\left(w_{2}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{1}} K\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) f\left(w_{1}\right) d \mu_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)
$$

If there exist $C>0$ and measurable functions $h_{i}: \Omega_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}(i=1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{1}} K\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(w_{1}\right) d \mu_{1}\left(w_{1}\right) \leq C h_{2}^{q^{\prime}}\left(w_{2}\right) \quad \mu_{2}-\text { a.e. }  \tag{2.1}\\
& \int_{\Omega_{2}} K\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) h_{2}^{q}\left(w_{2}\right) d \mu_{2}\left(w_{2}\right) \leq C h_{1}^{q}\left(w_{1}\right) \quad \mu_{1}-\text { a.e. } \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $T_{K}$ defines a bounded operator from $L^{q}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ into $L^{q}\left(\Omega_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $1 \leq p<\infty, 1<q<\infty, \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, w(t)=\lambda^{1 / q^{\prime}}(t) \mu^{-1 / q}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{W}_{0,1}$. Denoting $w_{Q}=\left(\int_{\frac{l(Q)}{2}}^{l(Q)} w^{q^{\prime}}(t) \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}$ we have $f \in B_{w, \phi}^{p, q}$ if and only if there exist $A>0,\left\{s_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subseteq \mathbb{C},\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}(A, p)-$ smooth atoms such that $f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{j=\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{q / p}<\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{p, q}} \approx \inf \left\{\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{j=\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q} ; f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}\right\}
$$

Proof. Assume $f \in B_{w, \phi}^{p, q}$ and write $w_{j}=\left(\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} w^{q^{\prime}}(t) \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}$. The atomic decomposition is obtained from Lemma A. The only thing to prove is the estimate (2.3). Using (1.11) we have

$$
\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{s_{Q}}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{w(t)}{w_{j}} \chi_{\left(2^{j-1}, 2^{j}\right)}(t) \frac{\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p}}{w(t)} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

Hence from duality and Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{s_{Q}}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & =\sup _{\sum \beta_{j}^{q^{\prime}}=1} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{j}}{w_{j}} w(t) \chi_{\left(2^{j-1}, 2^{j}\right)}(t)\right) \frac{\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p}}{w(t)} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq \sup _{\sum \beta_{j}^{q^{\prime}}=1}\left\|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j} \frac{w(t)}{w_{j}} \chi_{\left(2^{j-1}, 2^{j}\right)}(t)\right\|_{q^{\prime}}\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{p, q}} \\
& =\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{p, q} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, let us assume that $f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}$ where $\left\{s_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ satisfies (2.3). We use now (1.14) in Lemma 1.5 and Lemma 1.6 to prove that $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}$ converges in $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}$ to a function $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \frac{d x}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}}\right)$. It suffices to prove that $w_{j} \min \left(1,2^{-j}\right) \in$ $l_{q^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{j}^{q^{\prime}} \min \left(1,2^{-j}\right)^{q^{\prime}} \\
& \quad \leq C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} w^{q^{\prime}}(t) \min \left(1, \frac{1}{t}\right)^{q^{\prime}} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \quad \leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} w^{q^{\prime}}(t) \min \left(1, \frac{1}{t}\right)^{q^{\prime}} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \mu^{-q^{\prime} / q}\left(t^{-1}\right) \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda(t) \mu^{-q^{\prime} / q}\left(t^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{q^{\prime}} \frac{d t}{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (1.5) we have $\mu(s) \geq \min (1, s)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{j}^{q^{\prime}} \min \left(1,2^{-j}\right)^{q^{\prime}} & \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda(t) t^{q^{\prime}-1} t^{-q^{\prime}} \frac{d t}{t}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda(t) \frac{d t}{t^{2}}\right)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} s_{Q}\left(\phi_{t} * a_{Q}\right)\right\|_{p}$ we can use (1.13) in Lemma 1.4 to get

$$
\frac{\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p}}{w(t)} \leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{w_{j}}{w(t)} \min \left(\frac{t}{2^{j}}, 1\right)\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Let us write $\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)=(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), d \nu)$ where $\nu$ denote the counting measure and $\left(\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)=\left((0,+\infty), \mathcal{B}((0,+\infty)), \frac{d t}{t}\right)$ and consider the following kernel

$$
K(j, t)=\frac{w_{j}}{w(t)} \min \left(\frac{t}{2^{j}}, 1\right)
$$

Take

$$
\alpha_{j}=\left(\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \lambda(t) \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q q^{\prime}}}\left[\inf _{2^{-j}<t \leq 2^{-j+1}} \mu(t)\right]^{1 / q q^{\prime}}
$$

and take

$$
g(t)=\lambda^{\frac{1}{q q^{\prime}}}(t) \mu^{\frac{1}{q q^{\prime}}}\left(t^{-1}\right)
$$

Clearly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{q}(t)=w(t) \mu\left(t^{-1}\right), \quad g^{q^{\prime}}(t) w(t)=\lambda(t) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand $w_{j} \leq\left(\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \lambda(t) \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{T}}}\left(\inf _{2^{-j}<t \leq 2^{-j+1}} \mu(t)\right)^{\frac{-1}{q}}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{j} \inf _{2^{-j}<t \leq 2^{-j+1}} \mu(t) \leq \alpha_{j}^{q}, \quad w_{j} \alpha_{j}^{q^{\prime}} \leq \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \lambda(t) \frac{d t}{t} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (2.4), Corollary 1.1 and (2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} K(j, t) g^{q}(t) \frac{d t}{t} & =w_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu\left(t^{-1}\right) \min \left(\frac{t}{2^{j}}, 1\right) \frac{d t}{t} \\
& =w_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu(s) \min \left(\frac{2^{-j}}{s}, 1\right) \frac{d s}{s} \\
& \leq C w_{j} \inf _{2^{-j}<t \leq 2^{-j+1}} \mu(t) \leq C \alpha_{j}^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, applying (2.4) and (2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} K(j, t) \alpha_{j}^{q^{\prime}} & \leq \frac{C}{w(t)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \min \left(\frac{t}{2^{j}}, 1\right) w_{j} \alpha_{j}^{q^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{w(t)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \min \left(\frac{t}{2^{j}}, 1\right) \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \lambda(s) \frac{d s}{s} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{w(t)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \min \left(\frac{t}{s}, 1\right) \lambda(s) \frac{d s}{s} \\
& \leq C \frac{\lambda(t)}{w(t)}=C g(t)^{q^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Lemma B,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p}}{w(t)}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\frac{d t}{t}\right)} & \leq C\left\|T_{K}\left(\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\frac{d t}{t}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left\|\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right\|_{l^{q}}<\infty . \square
\end{aligned}
$$

§3. Atomic Decompositions for $B_{\phi, w}^{\infty, q}, B_{\phi, w}^{p, \infty}$ and $B_{\phi, w}^{p, 1}$
Theorem 3.1. Let $1 \leq p<\infty, w \in \mathcal{W}_{0,1}, \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$. Then $f \in B_{w, \phi}^{p, \infty}$ if and only if there exist $A>0,\left\{s_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subseteq \mathbb{C},\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}(A, p)$-smooth atoms such that $f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}$
in $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|s_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq C \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{p, \infty}} \approx \inf \left\{\sup _{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|s_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}}{\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} w(t) \frac{d t}{t}} ; \quad f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}\right\}
$$

Proof. Assume $f \in B_{w, \phi}^{p, \infty}$. Apply Lemma A and Lemma 1.3 to obtain (3.1).
Conversely assume $\left\{s_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ safisfies (3.1). Invoking Lemmas 1.5 and 1.6 we can see that $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q}\left(a_{Q}-c_{Q}\right)$ converge in $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}$ to a function $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \frac{d x}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}}\right)$ as soon as we notice that $\min \left(1,2^{-j}\right) \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} \in l_{1}(\mathbb{Z})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \min \left(1,2^{-j}\right) \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} w(t) \frac{d t}{t} & \leq C \int_{R} w(t) \min \left(1, t^{-1}\right) \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq C \int_{0}^{1} w(t) \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{1}^{\infty} w(t) \frac{d t}{t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\phi_{t} * f=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} s_{Q}\left(\phi_{t} * a_{Q}\right)$ in $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p} \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} s_{Q}\left(\phi_{t} * a_{Q}\right)\right\|_{p} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.2) and (1.13) in Lemma 1.4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p} & \leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \min \left(\frac{t}{2^{j}}, 1\right) \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} w(s) \frac{d s}{s} \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \min \left(\frac{t}{s}, 1\right) w(s) \frac{d s}{s} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{t} w(s) \frac{d s}{s}+t \int_{t}^{\infty} w(s) \frac{d s}{s^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq C w(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $1 \leq p<\infty, \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1} w$ a weight such that $w_{1}(t)=w^{-1}\left(t^{-1}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{W}_{0,1}$. Let us denote $w_{j}=\sup \left\{w(t) ; 2^{j-1}<t \leq 2^{j}\right\}$ and $w_{Q}=w_{l(Q)}$. Then $f \in B_{w, \phi}^{p, 1}$ if and only if there exist $A>0,\left\{s_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subseteq \mathbb{C},\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}(A, p)$-atoms such that $f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{p, 1}} \approx \inf \left\{\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}: f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}\right\}
$$

Proof. Assume $f \in B_{w, \phi}^{p, 1}$. Use one more time Lemma $A$ and (1.11) to have

$$
\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} \frac{\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p}}{w(t)} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

Adding them up we get

$$
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{p, 1}}
$$

Conversely take $\left\{s_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ satisfying (3.3). As in Theorem 2.1 we shall prove that $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}$ converges in $S_{0}^{\prime}$ to a function $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \frac{d x}{(1+|x|)^{n+1}}\right)$. Now it suffices to prove that $w_{j} \min \left(1,2^{-j}\right) \in l_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ which easily follows from (1.5).

As in Theorem 2.1 we apply (1.13) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p} & \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}} s_{Q}\left(\phi_{t} * a_{Q}\right)\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \min \left(\frac{t}{2^{j-1}}, 1\right)\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|s_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \frac{\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p}}{w(t)} \frac{d t}{t} & \leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \frac{1}{w(t)} \min \left(\frac{t}{2^{j-1}}, 1\right) \frac{d t}{t}\right)\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|s_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left|s_{Q}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \min \left(\frac{2^{-j+1}}{s}, 1\right) w_{1}(s) \frac{d s}{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Corollary 1.1 we can estimate

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \min \left(\frac{2^{-j+1}}{s}, 1\right) w_{1}(s) \frac{d s}{s}\right) \leq C \inf _{2^{-j}<t<2^{-j+1}} w_{1}(t) \leq C \frac{1}{w_{j}}
$$

This shows

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \frac{\left\|\phi_{t} * f\right\|_{p}}{w(t)} \frac{d t}{t} \leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Analyzing the previous proofs one realize that the only difficulty to extend to the case $p=\infty$ comes from the failure of (1.14), which in this case can be replaced by (1.14' ). This problem can be overcome by using Lemma 1.2 .

Theorem 3.3. Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty, \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, w(t)=\lambda^{1 / q^{\prime}}(t) \mu^{-1 / q}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ where $\lambda, \mu \in$ $\mathcal{W}_{0,1}$. Denoting $w_{Q}=\left(\int_{\frac{l(Q)}{2}}^{l(Q)} w^{q^{\prime}}(t) \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}$ for $q>1$ or $w_{Q}=\sup \{w(t) ; l(Q) / 2<$ $t \leq l(Q)\}$ for $q=1$ we have $f \in B_{w, \phi}^{\infty, q}$ if and only if there exist $A>0,\left\{s_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $\left\{a_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}(A, p)-$ smooth atoms such that $f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sup _{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{q}<\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\|f\|_{B_{w, \phi}^{\infty, q}} \approx \inf \left\{\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sup _{l(Q)=2^{j}}\left(\frac{\left|s_{Q}\right|}{w_{Q}}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q} ; f=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} s_{Q} a_{Q}\right\}
$$

(the obvious modifications for $q=\infty$ ).
Proof.
Denote $w_{j}=\left(\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} w^{q^{\prime}}(t) \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}$ or $w_{j}=\sup \left\{w(t): 2^{j-1}<t \leq 2^{j}\right\}$
Using Lemma 1.2 then $\mu, \lambda \in\left(b_{\varepsilon}\right)$ for some $0<\varepsilon<1$ and this is enough to show that $w_{j} \min \left(1, \frac{|j|}{2^{j}}\right) \in l^{q^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Indeed, for $q=\infty$ we have $w(t)=\lambda(t)$ and $w_{j} \min \left(1, \frac{|j|}{2^{j}}\right) \leq C w_{j} \min \left(1, \frac{1}{2^{\varepsilon j}}\right)$ From this

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{j} \min \left(1, \frac{|j|}{2^{j}}\right) \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda(t) \frac{d t}{t^{\varepsilon+1}}\right)<\infty
$$

For $q=1$ we have $w(t)=\mu^{-1}\left(t^{-1}\right)$ and using (1.5)

$$
w_{j} \min \left(1, \frac{|j|}{2^{j}}\right) \leq C \frac{1}{\inf _{2^{-j}<t<2^{-j+1}} \mu(t)} \min \left(1, \frac{1}{2^{\varepsilon j}}\right) \leq C<\infty
$$

$C$ independent of $j$.
For $1<q<\infty$ take $0<\alpha<1$ such that $q^{\prime}(1-\alpha)=1-\varepsilon$ and use

$$
w_{j} \min \left(1, \frac{|j|}{2^{j}}\right) \leq C w_{j} \min \left(1, \frac{1}{2^{\alpha j}}\right)
$$

Therefore
$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{j}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\min \left(1, \frac{|j|}{2^{j}}\right)\right)^{q^{\prime}} \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \mu^{-q^{\prime} / q}\left(t^{-1}\right) \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda(t) t^{q^{\prime}-1} \frac{d t}{t^{\alpha q^{\prime}+1}}\right)<\infty$.

Ackknowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for his careful reading of the paper and for fixing a little detail in the proof of lemma 1.5 that we had overlooked in the previous version.
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